

The Niagara Catholic District School Board through the charisms of faith, social justice, support and leadership, nurtures an enriching Catholic learning community for all to reach their full potential and become living witnesses of Christ.

# AGENDA AND MATERIAL

# **COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING**

# TUESDAY, JUNE 7, 2011 7:00 P.M.

#### FATHER KENNETH BURNS, C.S.C. BOARD ROOM CATHOLIC EDUCATION CENTRE, WELLAND, ONTARIO

# A. ROUTINE MATTERS

	1.	Opening Prayers – Trustee MacNeil	-
	2.	Roll Call	-
	3.	Approval of the Agenda	-
	4.	Declaration of Conflict of Interest	-
	5.	Approval of Minutes of the Committee of the Whole Meeting of May 10, 2011	A5
B.	PR	ESENTATIONS	
C.	CO	MMITTEE AND STAFF REPORTS	
	1.	Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair 2011	C1
	2.	Policy Committee 2.1 Unapproved Minutes of the Policy Committee Meeting of May 31, 2011 2.2 Policies	C2.1
		<ul> <li>2.2 Poncies</li> <li>2.2.1 Assessment, Evaluation and Reporting Policy (800.1) (Interim)</li> <li>2.2.2 Voluntary and Confidential Self-Identification Policy for First Nation, Métis and Inuit Students (301.9)</li> </ul>	C2.2.1 C2.2.2
		<ul> <li>2.2.3 Student Fees Policy (800.3) (Interim)</li> <li>2.3 Policy Development</li> </ul>	C2.2.3 C2.3
	3.	Annual Niagara Catholic Graduation Celebration 2011	C3
	4.	Improving Student Success Through Technological Enhancements	C4
	5.	Research Projects in the Niagara Catholic District School Board 2010-2011 School Year	C5
	6.	Student Support Leadership Initiative	C6
	7.	Special Education Plan	C7
	8.	Larkin Estate Awards 2011	C8
	9.	Staff Development Update 2010-2011	C9
	10.	Annual Budget 2011-2012	<b>C</b> 10

	<ul> <li>11. Monthly Updates</li> <li>11.1 Capital Projects Update</li> <li>11.2 Student Senate Update</li> <li>11.3 Senior Staff Good News Update</li> </ul>	C11.1
D.	INFORMATION	
	<ol> <li>Trustee Information</li> <li>1.1 Spotlight on Niagara Catholic – May 24, 2011</li> <li>1.2 Calendar of Events – June 2011</li> </ol>	D1.1 D1.2
E.	OTHER BUSINESS	
	<ol> <li>General Discussion to Plan for Future Action</li> <li>1.1 Pupil Accommodation Report 2009 Recommendations and Attendance Area Review Process (<i>to be distributed</i>)</li> </ol>	E1.1
F.	BUSINESS IN CAMERA	
G.	REPORT ON THE IN CAMERA SESSION	

# H. ADJOURNMENT

TO: NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

**TOPIC:** MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING OF MAY 10, 2011

# RECOMMENDATION

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole approve the Minutes of the Committee of the Whole Meeting of May 10, 2011, as presented.



# MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING

# **TUESDAY, MAY 10, 2011**

Minutes of the Meeting of the Committee of the Whole of the Niagara Catholic District School Board, held on Tuesday, May 10, 2011, at 7:00 p.m. in the Father Kenneth Burns csc Board Room, at the Catholic Education Centre, 427 Rice Road, Welland.

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Chairperson Burtnik.

# A. ROUTINE MATTERS

# 1. **Opening Prayer**

Opening Prayers were led by Trustee Nieuwesteeg.

# 2. <u>Roll Call</u>

Chairperson Burtnik noted Trustee Fera has asked to be excused and Vice-Chairperson Charbonneau was present electronically.

Trustee	Present	Absent	Present Electronically	Excused
Rhianon Burkholder	1			
Kathy Burtnik	1			
Maurice Charbonneau			1	
Frank Fera				1
Fr. Paul MacNeil	1			
Ed Nieuwesteeg	1			
Ted O'Leary	1			
Dino Sicoli	1			
Student Trustees				
Shelby Levesque	1			
Patrick Fowler	1			

The following staff were in attendance:

John Crocco, Director of Education; Yolanda Baldasaro, Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells, Frank Iannantuono, Superintendents of Education; Larry Reich, Superintendent of Controller Business & Financial Services; James Woods, of Plant: Khayyam Syne, Administrator of Staff Development; Mark Lefebvre, Administrator of School Effectiveness; Marcel Jacques, Administrator - Student Achievement K-12, Special Education; Jennifer Brailey, Manager of Corporate Services & Communications; Sherry Morena, Recording Secretary/Administrative Assistant, Corporate Services & Communications

# 3. <u>Approval of the Agenda</u>

Moved by Trustee Burkholder

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole approve the Agenda of the Committee of the Whole Meeting of May 10, 2011, as presented.

# CARRIED

# 4. <u>Disclosure of Interest</u>

No Disclosures of Interest were declared with any items on the agenda.

## 5. <u>Minutes of the Committee of the Whole Meeting of April 12, 2011</u>

Moved by Trustee Nieuwesteeg

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole approve the Minutes of the Committee of the Whole Meeting of April 12, 2011, as presented.

CARRIED

# **B. PRESENTATIONS**

## 1.1 <u>Catholic Education Week - Secondary School Student Artwork Display</u>

Yolanda Baldasaro. Superintendent of Education, presented information on the many events which took place during Catholic Education Week beginning with Mass at the parishes on Sunday, May 1, 2011.

Superintendent Baldasaro presented information on the Secondary School Student Artwork Display, which consisted of displays being setup throughout different regional locations. Each display included of a set of student created artwork which reflected this year's theme and the seven virtues of Niagara Catholic's Virtues Model.

Chairperson Burtnik and Director Crocco presented the student artists with a replica of the artwork they submitted on behalf of their school community along with a Niagara Catholic "Excellence in the Arts" pin.

# C. COMMITTEE AND STAFF REPORTS

## 1. Policy Committee

# 1.1 Unapproved Minutes <u>Policy Committee Meeting – April 26, 2011</u>

Moved by Trustee MacNeil

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole receive the unapproved Minutes of the Policy Committee Meeting of April 26, 2011, as presented.

# CARRIED

# 1.2 <u>Approval of Policies</u>

# 1.2.1 <u>Environmental Stewardship Policy</u>

Moved by Trustee O'Leary

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole recommend that the Niagara Catholic District School Board approve the Environmental Stewardship Policy, as presented.

# CARRIED

# 1.2.2 <u>Records Management Policy (600.2)</u>

Moved by Trustee O'Leary

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole recommend that the Niagara Catholic District School Board approve the Records Management Policy (600.2), as presented.

## CARRIED

# 1.2.3 <u>Niagara Catholic Parent Involvement Committee Policy (800.7)</u>

Moved by Trustee O'Leary

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole recommend that the Niagara Catholic District School Board approve the Niagara Catholic Parent Involvement Committee Policy (800.7), as presented.

## CARRIED

## 1.3 <u>Policy Development Update</u>

Director Crocco presented the Policy Development Update.

## 2. <u>Niagara Catholic District School Board Itinerant Arts Program 2010-2011</u>

Superintendent Baldasaro welcomed Mark Lefebvre, School Effectiveness Framework Administrator, and Jayne Evans, FSL /ESL / Arts Consultant, who presented the report on the Niagara Catholic District School Board Itinerant Arts Program 2010-2011 report.

Mr. Lefebvre introduced the Itinerant Arts Coaches who were commended for their success in supporting the implementation of the new Ontario Curriculum, Grades 1-8 – The Arts, 2009.

Trustees were informed that the Student Achievement Department, in conjunction with the Human Resources Department, is planning an expansion to provide continuous support for the Arts.

#### 3. <u>Supervised Alternative Learning and Other Excusals From Attendance at School (SAL)</u>

Superintendent Baldasaro welcomed Bridgette Ridley, Stay in School Co-coordinator, who presented the report on Supervised Alternative Learning and Other Excusals from Attendance at School (SAL).

Mrs. Ridley stated that the purpose of Supervised Alternative Learning is to provide students who have significant difficulties with regular attendance at school with an alternative learning experience and individualized plan to enable the student to progress towards obtaining an Ontario Secondary School Diploma (OSSD) or achieving his/her other education and life goals.

# 4. <u>Niagara Catholic 8<sup>th</sup> Annual Regional Ontario Heritage Fair 2011</u>

Superintendent Baldasaro welcomed Sheila Lohnes, Supervisor - Library Information Centers, who presented the report on Niagara Catholic's Annual Regional Ontario Heritage Fair 2011 along with the highlights.

Trustees were informed that 25 schools represented Niagara Catholic at the Regional Fair which consisted of 103 exemplary student projects. Several students were presented with awards at Niagara Catholic's 8<sup>th</sup> Annual Regional Ontario Heritage Fair.

## 5. <u>Staff Development Department Professional Development Opportunities</u>

Khayyam Syne, Administrator of Staff Development, presented the report on the Staff Development Department Professional Development Opportunities for information.

## 6. <u>Niagara Catholic Strategic Direction Priority Indicators 2011-2012</u>

Director Crocco presented the Niagara Catholic Strategic Direction Priority Indicators 2011-2012 stating that these indicators build on the 2010-2011 Niagara Catholic System Priorities which are aligned with the Board approved Vision 2020 Strategic Plan. The specific indicators are intended to provide the framework to measure the progress in achieving the two (2) Strategic Directions and the seven (7) Enabling Priorities.

Trustees were informed that they will receive a Mid-Year Progress Review of the 2011-2012 Priority Indicators at the January 2012 Board Meeting with a Niagara Catholic Strategic Directions Priority Indicators Achievement Report for 2011-2012 presented at the September 2012 Board Meeting.

Trustees recommended that the following statement be reworded to read:

## **Building Partnerships and Schools as Hubs**

• To continue to build capacity with Trustees, the Diocese of St. Catharines, Parishes, administrators, staff and parents by providing information and opportunities for dialogue on Catholic education.

#### Moved by Trustee Sicoli

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole recommend to the Niagara Catholic District School Board the approval of the Niagara Catholic Strategic Directions Priority Indicators 2011-2012, as amended.

## CARRIED

# 7. Extended Overnight Field Trip/Excursion/Exchange Trip Information

Superintendent Baldasaro presented the Extended Overnight Field Trip/Excursion/Exchange Trip Information.

## 8. <u>Monthly Updates</u>

## 8.1 Capital Projects Progress Report

James Woods, Controller of Plant, presented the Capital Projects Progress Report.

## 8.2 <u>Student Trustees' Update</u>

Shelby Levesque and Patrick Fowler, Student Trustees, gave a brief verbal update on the activities of the Student Senate.

#### 8.3 Senior Staff Good News Update

Senior Staff highlights included:

#### Superintendent Forsyth-Sells

• Ann Perrotta, Grade 8 Teacher at Cardinal Newman Catholic Elementary School was voted by the public in the Best Teacher Category and Cardinal Newman School was voted as Best School in the Niagara Falls Review Reader's Choice Awards.

## **Director Crocco**

Fifteen (15) Niagara Catholic students were recognized by the Welland Tribune, May 1<sup>st</sup> at the 2011 Great Kids of South Niagara Gala. Those who were recognized were young people who stand out from the crowd for the acts of kindness they've shown others, or for the obstacles and challenges they've overcome to achieve their personal best.

# **D. INFORMATION**

## 1. <u>Trustee Information</u>

## 1.1 Spotlight on Niagara Catholic – April 26, 2011

Director Crocco presented the Spotlight on Niagara Catholic – April 26, 2011 issue for Trustees' information.

## 1.2 <u>Calendar of Events – May 2011</u>

Director Crocco presented information on the Calendar of Events – May 2011.

# 1.3 Graduation Celebration 2011 – Scotiabank Convention Centre – May 19, 2011

Director Crocco reminded Trustees that the 10<sup>th</sup> Graduation Celebration 2011 will be held at the Scotiabank Convention Centre on May 19, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. with Bishop Bergie in attendance and featuring keynote speaker Geoff Green.

Trustees were asked to confirm their attendance with Sherry Morena.

#### 1.4 Partners in Catholic Education – Quality Convention Centre – May 19, 2011

Director Crocco reminded Trustees that Partners in Catholic Education will be held at the Quality Convention Centre on May 19, 2011 at 5:30 featuring keynote speaker Geoff Green.

Trustees were asked to confirm their attendance with Sherry Morena.

## 1.5 <u>CCSTA AGM – Regina, Saskatchewan, June 2 – 4, 2011</u>

Director Crocco presented information on the CCSTA AGM being held in Regina, Saskatchewan on June 2 - 4, 2011.

Trustees were asked to confirm their attendance with Sherry Morena.

#### 1.6 <u>Niagara Catholic Family Picnic Day 2011 – Queenston Heights – June 5, 2011</u>

Director Crocco updated Trustees on the first Annual Niagara Catholic Family Picnic Day 2011 is taking place at Queenston Heights on June 5, 2011 with Fr. John Vickers representing the Bishop and celebrating Mass.

Trustees were asked to confirm their attendance with Sherry Morena.

#### 1.7 <u>Niagara Secondary Graduations 2011</u>

Director Crocco presented information on Niagara Catholic's Secondary Graduation Schedule 2011.

Trustees were asked to confirm their attendance with Chairperson Burtnik and Sherry Morena.

#### **1.8** <u>Premier's Award for Teaching Excellence – Donna Saunders</u>

Chairperson Burtnik read an e-letter from Donna Saunders' mother expressing her pleasure in her daughter receiving the Premier's Award for Teaching Excellence.

Ms. Saunders was recognized at the Awards Dinner on May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2011.

# **E. OTHER BUSINESS**

## 1. General Discussion to Plan for Future Action

# F. BUSINESS IN CAMERA

Moved by Trustee Nieuwesteeg

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole move into the In Camera Session.

# CARRIED

The Committee of the Whole moved into the In Camera Session of the Meeting at 9:00 p.m. and reconvened at 9:25 p.m.

# G. REPORT ON THE IN-CAMERA SESSION

Moved by Trustee O'Leary

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole report the motions from the In Camera Session of the Committee of the Whole Meeting of May 10, 2011.

## CARRIED

# SECTION A: STUDENT TRUSTEES PRESENT

Moved by Trustee Burkholder

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole approve the Minutes of the In Camera Session of the Committee of the Whole Meeting - Section A: Student Trustees Present held on April 12, 2011, as presented.

## CARRIED (Item F1)

# SECTION B: STUDENT TRUSTEES EXCLUDED

Moved by Trustee MacNeil

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole approve the Minutes of the In Camera Session of the Committee of the Whole Meeting - Section B: Student Trustees Excluded held on April 12, 2011, as presented.

## CARRIED (Item F3)

# H. ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Trustee MacNeil THAT the May 10, 2011 Committee of the Whole Meeting be adjourned. CARRIED

This meeting was adjourned at 9:30 p.m.

Minutes of the Committee of the Whole Meeting of the Niagara Catholic District School Board held on May 10<sup>th</sup>, 2011.

Approved on the  $7^{th}$  day of **June 2011**.

Maurice Charbonneau Vice-Chairperson of the Board TO: NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

**TOPIC: NIAGARA REGIONAL SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING FAIR** 2011

Prepared by:	Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Dino Petruzzi, Chair: Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair
Presented by:	Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Dino Petruzzi, Chair: Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair
Approved by:	John Crocco, Director of Education
Date:	June 7, 2011



# REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING JUNE 7<sup>TH</sup>, 2011

# NIAGARA REGIONAL SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING FAIR - 2011



# **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

The Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair is a non-profit, charitable organization dedicated to the encouragement of science in students within the Niagara Region. The fair is a prestigious annual science event within the region sponsored by local businesses, and held at Brock University.

Over 150 young Canadian scientists participate at this event. Their accomplishments are reviewed by approximately 100 judges and delegations of local scientists, engineers and businessmen.

The fair is economically beneficial to the community and also provides a scientific stimulus for our youth facing a challenge in our modern society. The Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair is endorsed by Brock University, Niagara College, the Niagara Catholic District School Board, the District School Board of Niagara, and le Conseil scolaire de district catholique Centre-Sud.

For over forty years, students have been benefiting from the Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair. There are close to 200 schools that are encouraged every year to participate in the fair. Students who win at their school fair progress to the Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair. Students spend a good part of the year preparing for the Fair.

During the awards night ceremony, students receive trophies and purses that are donated by local businesses and philanthropists. The best projects are selected and funds are provided to send representatives from the Niagara Region to the Canada-Wide Science Fair.

# Mission Statement of the Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair:

- To foster an interest in science in elementary and high school students in the Niagara Region.
- To provide guidance for these students.
- To assist teachers in the further education of students in science.
- To recognize student excellence in science and technology.
- To improve the science education of our local community.

#### Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair – 2011 Committee Members (Representatives from the Niagara Catholic District School Board)

Chair:	Dino Petruzzi	(Teacher, Denis Morris)
Registration:	Nancy Krakar	(Occasional Teacher, Niagara Catholic DSB)
Awards:	Kathryn Traynor	(Teacher, Our Lady of Fatima, St. Catharines)
	Heidi Poltl	(Teacher, Mother Theresa)
	Kathryn Traynor	(Teacher, Our Lady of Fatima, St. Catharines)
	Heidi Poltl	(Teacher, St. Michael, Niagara-on-the-Lake)
Treasurer:	Adriana Weber	(Occasional Teacher, Niagara Catholic DSB)

#### NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD DIVISIONAL AND SPECIAL AWARDS WINNERS

Each year, over 40% of the projects are submitted by Niagara Catholic schools and students. A list of the recipients for the Divisional and Special Awards chosen by the fair judges is provided in Appendix A.

## **CANADA-WIDE SCIENCE FAIR**

The following students and their projects represented Team Niagara 2011 at the Canada-Wide Science Fair, from May 14<sup>th</sup> to 21<sup>st</sup> in Toronto, Ontario.

Name	School	Торіс
Jennifer Csele	Notre Dame College	Determining Heavy Metal Contamination in Soil Using Spectroscopy
Olivia LePine	Denis Morris Catholic	Will Crayfish Fight Over Temperature as a Resource
Sarah Born	Denis Morris Catholic	The Digital Ear Trainer

The report on the Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair – 2011 is presented for information.

Prepared by:	Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Dino Petruzzi, Chair: Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair
Presented by:	Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Dino Petruzzi, Chair: Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair
Approved by:	John Crocco, Director of Education
Date:	June 7 <sup>th</sup> , 2011

# **APPENDIX** A

# NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD DIVISIONAL AND SPECIAL AWARDS WINNERS

#### **DIVISIONAL MEDAL WINNERS**

#### **Juvenile Division**

Bronze Medal	<b>Name</b> Evan Dim & Jack Paduchowski	<b>School</b> Our Lady of Fatima (St. Catharines)	<b>Topic</b> Does Battery Power Affect Motor Torque?
Honourable Mention	Name Alex Read	<b>School</b> St. Michael	<b>Topic</b> Gauss Rifle
Junior Division			
Bronze Medal	<b>Name</b> Meggan McConnell	<b>School</b> St. Michael	<b>Topic</b> Wind Turbines Go Green
Honourable Mention	Zhanybek Orozaliev	St. Michael	Models of Recycling Mechanical Energy of Moving Objects
	Joseph Bellantino	St. Alexander	No Body Can Lie
Intermediate Division			
Gold Medal	<b>Name</b> Jennifer Csele	<b>School</b> Notre Dame College	<b>Topic</b> Determining Heavy Metal Contamination in Soil Using Spectroscopy
Bronze Medal	<b>Name</b> Mah-E-Lequa Raza Jadgal	<b>School</b> Holy Cross	<b>Topic</b> Nitrification and Denitrification of Water From Mud Lake and Beaver Dam Pond
Senior Division			
Silver Medal	<b>Name</b> Olivia LePine	<b>School</b> Denis Morris	<b>Topic</b> Will Crayfish Fight Over Temperature as a Resource?
	Sarah Born	Denis Morris	The Digital Ear Trainer

APPENDIX A Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair - 2011 Page 1 of 6

<b>Honourable Mention</b>	Name	School	Торіс
	Hailey Slamka &	Holy Cross	Car- Gondola Highway Using
	Robert Thomson		Maglev Technology to Reduce
			Carbon Dioxide Emission

# SPECIAL AWARDS

# Canadian Army Militia Award

Name Zhanybek Orozaliev	<b>School</b> St. Alfred	<b>Topic</b> Models of Recycling Mechanical Energy of Moving Objects
Erik Lenz	Holy Cross	An Observation of Human Working Memory
Alex Read	St. Michael	Gauss Rifle

# **United States Army Certificate**

<b>Name</b> Jennifer Csele	<b>School</b> Notre Dame College	<b>Topic</b> Determining Heavy Metal Contamination in Soil Using Spectroscopy		
Hailey Slamka & Robert Thomson	Holy Cross	Car – Gondola Highway using Maglev Technology to Reduce Carbon Dioxide Emission		
Gaibrie Stephen & Kimoon Yoo	Denis Morris	The Efficiency of Solar Energy		
Vanessa Muraca & Jessica Sawyer	St. Vincent de Paul	How Alive Are Your Five?		
Max Roberts-Romas & Sebastien Balsom	St. Michael	Super Senses		
Jennifer Romero & Dylan Perera	Holy Cross	The Role of Psychobiological Response to Social Evaluative Body Image Threats		
A.E. Howey Jeweller Physics Award				
<b>Name</b> Gaibrie Stephan & Kimoon Yoo	<b>School</b> Denis Morris	<b>Topic</b> The Efficiency of Solar Energy		

# **Brock University Psychology Department**

Name	School
Erik Lenz	Holy Cross

**Topic** An Observation of Human Working Memory

#### Niagara Electrical Association – Robert Burk Memorial Award

Name Jennifer Csele **School** Notre Dame College **Topic** Determining Heavy Metal Contamination in Soil Using Spectroscopy

# **Canadian Federation of University Women**

**Name** Sarah Born

**School** Denis Morris **Topic** The Digital Ear Trainer

# **Ontario Power Generation Award**

NameSchoolTopicAnthony MottolaSt. MichaelNatural vs Unnatural

# **Brock University Earth Science Award**

NameSchoolTopicJennifer CseleNotre Dame CollegeDetermining Heavy Metal Contamination in<br/>Soil using Spectroscopy

## Meridian Credit Union – Lives Grow Here

**Name** Dylan Perera **School** St. Vincent de Paul **Topic** Do Plants Care About Light Colour?

## **Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority**

NameSchoolTopicPatrick SpanoSt. KevinScience Behind the Electric Guitar

# LifeLabs Award

NameSchoolAnna Smythe & Lily MichalikaSt. Michael

## **Hatch Acres International Award**

Name Hailey Slamka & Robert Thomson **School** Holy Cross **Topic** Natural vs. Chemical

#### **Topic** Car – Gondola Highway using Maglev Technology to Reduce Carbon Dioxide Emission

# **Gallea Environmental Award**

Name	School	Торіс
Greg Corfield	St. Mary, N.F.	Creating Batteries

# University of Ontario Institute of Technology Award

Name Gaibrie Stephen & Kimoon Yoo	<b>School</b> Denis Morris	<b>Topic</b> The Efficiency of Solar Energy
Andrew Mambella	St. Martin	Pop Can Heater
Brock University Biology Aw	ard	
<b>Name</b> Olivia LePine	<b>School</b> Denis Morris	<b>Topic</b> Will Crayfish Fight Over Temperature As a Resource?
Dylan Perera	St. Vincent de Paul	Do Plants Care About Light Colour?
Brock University Chemistry	Award	
Name Jennifer Csele	<b>School</b> Notre Dame College	<b>Topic</b> Determining Heavy Metal Contamination In Soil Using Spectroscopy
Accipter Radar Technology A	Award for Engineering	Innovation
<b>Name</b> Hailey Slamka & Robert Thomson	<b>School</b> Holy Cross	<b>Topic</b> Car – Gondola Highway Using Maglev Technology to Reduce Carbon Dioxide Emission
Brock University Physics Aw	ard	
Name Jennifer Csele	<b>School</b> Notre Dame College	<b>Topic</b> Determining Heavy Metal Contamination in Soil Using Spectroscopy
Alex Read	St. Michael	Gauss Rifle
Vineland Research and Innov	vation Centre	
<b>Name</b> Dylan Perera	<b>School</b> St. Vincent de Paul	<b>Topic</b> Do Plants Care About Light Colour?

APPENDIX A Niagara Regional Science and Engineering Fair - 2011 Page 4 of 6

# **University of Guelph Plant Science Award**

Name	School	Торіс
Adrian Hartman & Jacob Raso	Alexander Kuska	The Effect of Different Coloured Lights On
		Radish Plants

## **Professional Engineers of Ontario**

<b>Name</b> Jennifer Csele	<b>School</b> Notre Dame College	<b>Topic</b> Determining Heavy Metal Contamination in Soil Using Spectroscopy
Hailey Slamka & Robert Thomson	Holy Cross	Car – Gondola Highway Using Maglev Technology to Reduce Carbon Dioxide Emission
<u>Sikamani Memorial Award</u>		

Name	School	Торіс
Zhanybek Orozaliev	St. Alfred	Models of Recycling Mechanical Energy of
		Moving Objects

# **Environmental Innovator Award**

Name	School	Торіс
Meggan McConnell &	St. Michael	Wind Turbines Go Green
Kaitlyn Eymann		

## **Ontario English Catholic Teacher's Association**

Name Vanessa Muraca	<b>School</b> St. Vincent de Paul	<b>Topic</b> How Alive Are Your Five?
Anthony Mottola	St. Michael	Natural vs Unnatural
Alex Read	St. Michael	Gauss Rifle
Anna Smythe & Lily Michalika	St. Michael	Natural vs Chemical

# **Brock University Entrance Scholarship**

Name	School	Торіс
Olivia LePine	Denis Morris	Will Crayfish Fight Over Temperature as a
		Resource

# Canada-Wide Science Fair Award

Name Jennifer Csele	School Notre Dame College	<b>Topic</b> Determining Heavy Metal Contamination in Soil Using Spectroscopy
Olivia LePine	Denis Morris	Will Crayfish Fight Over Temperature as a Resource
Sarah Born	Denis Morris	The Digital Ear Trainer

# The Niagara Science and Engineering Fair "Best in Fair" Award

Name	School	Торіс
Jennifer Csele	Notre Dame College	Determining Heavy Metal Contamination in Soil
		Using Spectroscopy

TO: NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

**TOPIC: POLICY COMMITTEE UNAPPROVED MINUTES MAY 31, 2011** 

# RECOMMENDATION

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole receive the unapproved Minutes of the Policy Committee Meeting of May 31, 2011, as presented.



# MINUTES OF THE POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING

# **TUESDAY MAY 31, 2011**

Minutes of the Policy Committee Meeting held on Tuesday, May 31, 2011 at 4:30 p.m. in the Father Kenneth Burns csc Board Room, at the Catholic Education Centre, 427 Rice Road, Welland.

The meeting was called to order at 4:35 p.m. by Trustee Burtnik. Policy Committee Chairperson Nieuwesteeg chaired the meeting.

# 1. **Opening Prayer**

The meeting was opened with a prayer.

## 2. <u>Attendance</u>

Committee Members	Present	Absent	Excused
Ed Nieuwesteeg (Committee Chair)	~		
Kathy Burtnik	1		
Dino Sicoli	1		

# Staff:

John Crocco, Director of Education Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells, Superintendent of Education Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Jackie Watson, Equity, Diversity and Community Relations Consultant Jennifer Brailey, Manager of Corporate Services & Communications Department Sherry Morena, Administrative Assistant - Corporate Services & Communications Department /Recording Secretary

3. <u>Approval of Agenda</u>

Moved by Trustee Sicoli

**THAT** the May 31, 2011 Policy Committee Agenda be approved, as presented. **APPROVED** 

## 4. Disclosure of Interest

No Disclosures of Interest were declared with any items on the agenda.

#### 5. Minutes of the Policy Committee Meeting of April 26, 2011

#### Moved by Trustee Sicoli

**THAT** the Policy Committee approve the minutes of the Policy Committee Meeting of April 26, 2011, as presented.

APPROVED

6. Policies

#### **ACTION REQUIRED**

#### **POLICIES - VETTED**

#### 6.1 Assessment, Evaluation and Reporting Policy (800.1)

Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells, Superintendent of Education, presented the amendments to the Assessment, Evaluation and Reporting Policy (800.1).

Trustees asked questions of Superintendent Forsyth-Sells and discussed the Policy and Administrative Guidelines.

The following amendments were suggested to the **ADMINISTRATIVE GUIDELINES**:

#### **Definition of Cheating**

• Reword the first part of the second sentence to read: *"Examples of cheating include but are not limited to:..."* 

#### **Consequences of Cheating and Plagiarism**

• Change the word "*Policies*" in the second paragraph to "*This Policy reflects*" to read "*This Policy reflects a continuum*..."

#### **Effective Preventative Measures**

- Include the word "*all*" in the first paragraph to read: "Teachers are expected to implement the following preventative measures as outlined in the Ministry of Education "Growing Success" document to support *all* students in assessment, evaluation and reporting by":
- Remove the  $14^{\text{th}} \& 15^{\text{th}}$  points

# Homework and Assignments During Statutory / Board Holidays or Professional Activity Days

2<sup>nd</sup> bullet, change "2 schools days" to "3 school days" to read:
 "...evaluation within 3 school days following..."

Moved by Trustee Sicoli

**THAT** the Policy Committee recommend to the Committee of the Whole approval of the Assessment, Evaluation and Reporting Policy (800.1), as amended.

#### APPROVED

## 6.2 Voluntary and Confidential Self-Identification Policy for First Nation, Métis and Inuit Students (301.9)

Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education, and Jackie Watson, Equity, Diversity and Community Relations Consultant, presented the amendments to the Voluntary and Confidential Self-Identification Policy for First Nation, Métis and Inuit Students (301.9).

Trustees asked questions of Superintendent Baldasaro and discussed the Policy and Administrative Guidelines.

The following amendments were suggested to the **ADMINISTRATIVE GUIDELINES:** 

Rework, reorganize and renumber the following section to read as follows:

## 3.0 First Nation, Metis and Inuit Voluntary Self-Identification Procedure

- 3.1 Voluntary Self-Identification of First Nation, Métis or Inuit ancestry students may be declared by:
  - parents/guardians of students under the age of 18
  - students 18 years of age and older
  - students who are 16 or 17 and are withdrawn from parental control
- 3.2 Voluntary self-identification shall include all First Nation, Métis or Inuit people, regardless of status.
- 3.3 No proof of ancestry shall be required.
- 3.4 Parents/guardians of new students under the age of 18, new students 18 years of age and older and new students who are 16 or 17 and are withdrawn from parental control, registering in a Niagara Catholic District School Board elementary or secondary school may voluntarily acknowledge their First Nation, Métis or Inuit ancestry on the Student Registration Form and will be invited to self-identify at the time of registration.
- 3.5 Parents/guardians of students under the age of 18, students 18 years of age and older and students who are 16 or 17 and are withdrawn from parental control, currently registered in a Niagara Catholic District School Board elementary or secondary school may voluntarily acknowledge their child's First Nation, Métis or Inuit ancestry on a Student Data Verification Form or a form created for such identification purposes.
- 3.6 Parents/guardians of students under the age of 18, students 18 years of age and older and students who are 16 or 17 and are withdrawn from parental control shall be entitled to remove the identification at any time, upon written request to the Principal.

Moved by Trustee Sicoli

**THAT** the Policy Committee recommend to the Committee of the Whole approval of the Voluntary and Confidential Self-Identification Policy for First Nation, Métis and Inuit Students (301.9), as amended.

#### APPROVED

## **POLICIES - PRIOR TO VETTING**

#### 6.3 Student Fee Policy (302.8)

Superintendent Baldasaro presented the amendments to the Student Fee Policy (302.8).

Trustees discussed the Policy and Administrative Guidelines and recommended the following amendments:

#### POLICY STATEMENT

Add following statements be paragraphs prior after the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph:

"The Niagara Catholic District School Board will make every effort to ensure that all students have every opportunity to access all programs and activities offered.

The dignity of every student and parent/guardian will be honoured in the collection of fees.

The Niagara Catholic District School Board and its schools may choose to offer programming and materials beyond what is necessary to meet the learning expectations of a particular grade or course. These opportunities may be subject to an additional fee."

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE GUIDELINES**

#### **Transparency and Accountability**

- Move the *Transparency and Accountability* section to follow the Optional Programming section under Terms of Reference.
- Reword the second sentence in the second paragraph to read: "*Fee schedules will be made available to the Catholic school community.* "

#### **Guiding Principles of Application of Fees**

- Delete the following:
  - The title "Guiding Principles of Application of Fees"
  - The fist paragraph following the title
  - The first sentence under the fourth point
  - The first sentence under the sixth point
  - The seventh point

Senior Staff is recommending that the Student Fee Policy be recommended for Board approval as an interim policy to meet the Ministry of Education deadline. The Policy will be vetted in September 2011 with a recommended deadline for presentation to the Policy Committee in November 2011, and the Committee of the Whole and Board in December 2011.

#### Moved by Trustee Burtnik

**THAT** the Policy Committee recommend to the Committee of the Whole approval of the Student Fee Policy (302.8) (Interim), as amended.

#### APPROVED

# **INFORMATION**

# 6.4 Policies Being Vetted

• Nil

# 6.5 Policy and Guideline Review 2010-2011 Update

Director Crocco presented the Policy and Guideline Review 2010-2011 Update.

# 6.6 <u>Policy Development Update</u>

Director Crocco presented the Policy Development Update.

# 7. <u>Date of Next Meeting</u>

Tuesday, September 27, 2011 – 4:30 p.m.

# 8. <u>Adjournment</u>

The meeting adjourned at 6:30 p.m.

# C2.2.1

# TO: NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

**TOPIC:** ASSESSMENT, EVALUATION AND REPORTING POLICY (800.1) (INTERIM)

# RECOMMENDATION

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole recommend that the Niagara Catholic District School Board approve the Assessment, Evaluation and Reporting Policy (800.1) (Interim), as presented.

Prepared by: Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells, Superintendent of Education

Presented by: Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells, Superintendent of Education

Recommended by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7, 2011





# ASSESSMENT, EVALUATION AND REPORTING (Interim)

Adopted: 2011

Policy No. 800.1

# **STATEMENT OF POLICY**

The Niagara Catholic District School Board acknowledges that the primary purpose of assessment, evaluation, and reporting is to improve student learning and achievement in all Catholic elementary and secondary schools for all students., so as tTo ensure student success in assessment, evaluation, and reporting, practices will be rooted in the <u>a Catholic context as described in the Ontario Catholic School</u> Graduate Expectations so that all students can become self-directed, responsible, lifelong learners.

This policy reflects a continuum of behavioural and academic responses, and consequences, based on the individual circumstances, the grade level, and of the student, the maturity of the student, along with the number of frequency of incidents in regards to academic integrity and late and missed assignments relating to the assessment, evaluation and reporting of student achievement.

Therefore, Assessment, evaluation and reporting in all Catholic schools in the Niagara Catholic District School Board will be based on the current "Growing Success: Assessment, Evaluation and Reporting in Ontario Schools" document as mandated by the Ministry of Education.

The Director of Education will issue Administrative Guidelines in support of this Policy.

References:

- Ministry of Education. (2010). *Growing Success: Assessment, Evaluation and Reporting in Ontario Schools, First Edition, Covering Grades 1 to 12 2010.* Toronto, Ontario: Canada. Queen's Printer for Ontario.
- Ontario Catholic School Graduate Expectations: Institute for Catholic Education





# ASSESSMENT, EVALUATION AND REPORTING (Interim)

Adopted: 2011

Policy No. 800.1

# **ADMINISTRATIVE GUIDELINES**

# A. ACADEMIC INTEGRITY – Cheating and Plagiarism

# **STUDENT OWNERSHIP**

The Ministry of Education provides direction on Cheating and Plagiarism stating that:

"Students must understand that the tests/exams they complete and the assignments they submit for evaluation must be their own work and that cheating and plagiarism will not be condoned."

(Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 42)

#### **DEFINITION OF AN ASSIGNMENT:**

An assignment for evaluation is considered to be any academic piece that is submitted in any form for assessment and evaluation. "Student products may be in the form of tests or exams and/or assignments for evaluation." (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p.39*)

According to the Growing Success Policy Document:

"To ensure equity for all students, assignments for evaluation and tests or exams are to be completed, whenever possible, under the supervision of a teacher. Assignments for evaluation must not include ongoing homework that students do in order to consolidate their knowledge and skills or to prepare for the next class." (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 39*)

## **DEFINITION OF CHEATING**

Cheating is the use, the attempted use, or the giving of unauthorized assistance, material, or study aids in any academic work. Examples of cheating include, but are not limited to, using a cheat sheet, altering a graded assessment, knowingly allowing another student to use one's test responses, and other forms as determined by the classroom teacher.

# **DEFINITION OF PLAGIARISM**

Also referred to as, academic dishonesty, The Ministry of Education defines Cheating and Plagiarism as: "The use or close imitation of the language and thoughts of another without attribution, in order to represent them as one's own original work." (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 151*)

# **DEFINITION OF AN ASSIGNMENT:** MOVED ABOVE

An assignment for evaluation is considered to be any academic piece that is submitted in any form for assessment and evaluation. "Student products" may be in the form of tests or exams and/or assignments for evaluation. (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p.39*)

According to the *Growing Success* ((Ministry of Education 2010, p. 39) Policy Document:

"To ensure equity for all students, assignments for evaluation and tests or exams are to be completed, whenever possible, under the supervision of a teacher."

"Assignments for evaluation must not include ongoing homework that students do in order to consolidate their knowledge and skills or to prepare for the next class."

#### **<u>STUDENT OWNERSHIP</u>** MOVED ABOVE

The Ministry of Education provides direction on Cheating and Plagiarism by stating that: "Students must understand that the tests/exams they complete and the assignments they submit

for evaluation must be their own work and that cheating and plagiarism will not be condoned." (*Ministry of Education 2010, p. 42*)

# EXAMPLES OF CHEATING AND PLAGIARISM

- 1. A student does not cite work that has been summarized, paraphrased, or directly quoted in whole or in part.
- 2. A student that copies and pastes information from an electronic source (e.g. the internet) without quotations and without citing the source(s).
- 3. A student submits acquired/purchased essays, and/or other works as their own.
- 4. A student copying from another student(s).
- 5. A student making information available to other student(s) knowing that this is to be submitted as the borrower's own work.
- 6. A student in possession of unauthorized material during an evaluation such as assignments, tests, examinations, performance task, etc.
- 7. A student resubmitting one's own work that was previously evaluated.

# **Preventative Measures**

"To ensure equity for all students, assignments for evaluation and tests or exams are to be completed, whenever possible, under the supervision of a teacher. "Assignments for evaluation must not include ongoing homework that students do in order to consolidate their knowledge and skills or to prepare for the next class." (MOVED TO PAGE 2) (*Growing Success, 2010, p. 39*)

Teachers will implement the following ensure that appropriate measures to ensure that the work of the students remains their own.are in place to prevent plagiarism/cheating from occurring. These measures may include: (but are not limited to and as recommended by the Growing Success Steering Committee):

- informing students and parents about plagiarism by providing them with a printed copy of the Cheating and Plagiarism <del>policy</del> document that will be returned signed to classroom teachers;
- by holding student conferences to review their progress on their assignment that may include check points;
- development developing research skills;
- providing proper information about citation procedures;
- establishing student contracts; and
- facilitating student journals, logs, reader response, etc.

## **Consequences for Cheating and Plagiarism**

When issuing consequences for Cheating/Plagiarism, the Ministry of Education makes the following statement and that teachers and or school administrators are asked to consider: that:

"Policies will This policy reflects a continuum of behavioural and academic responses and consequences, based on at least the following four factors":

- 1. the individual circumstances of the student,
- 2. *the grade level of the student,*
- 3. the maturity of the student; and
- 4. the number and frequency of incidents.

(Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 43)

## **Reporting of Plagiarism/Cheating**

- All incidents of plagiarism/cheating must be reported to the school administration and parent(s)/guardian(s) by the classroom teacher and will be noted officially by the teacher/principal in the Student Management System (i.e. Maplewood). These consequences do not apply to examinations.
- Students, who continue to plagiarize, will receive additional consequences as determined by the school's administration such as, but not limited to, loss of participation in co-curricular activities, detentions, suspensions and putting graduation at risk.

Unintentional Cheating or Plagiarizing	Intentional Cheating and Plagiarizing
<ul> <li>If in the professional judgement of the teacher, in conjunction with the Principal and/or Vice-Principal if it is found that the student unintentionally cheated or plagiarized, the student will receive the mark of "0" for the cheated/plagiarized work submitted.</li> <li>The student is expected to rewrite the cheated/plagiarized assignment so as to determine the student's achievement of the overall expectations. For the rewrite, the student will conference with the classroom teacher, review the assignment, and receive a new topic that reflects expectations of the original assignment. Once the rewritten assignment is evaluated, the new mark-will replace the "0" assigned to the plagiarized/cheated assignment. If the student decides not to rewrite the assignment will stand.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>If in the professional judgement of the teacher, in conjunction with the Principal and/or Vice-Principal, if it is found that the student intentionally cheated or plagiarized, the student will receive a mark of "0" for that assignment.</li> <li>The Principal and/or Vice-Principal, in consultation with the classroom teacher, will determine if a rewrite of the cheated / plagiarized assignment will take place.</li> <li>If a rewrite is allowed to occur, the student will conference with the classroom teacher, review the assignment, and receive a new topic that reflects expectations of the original assignment. When the rewritten assignment is evaluated, the new mark (with a suggested penalty deductions of up to 5% for Grades 7 and 8 students, 10% for Grades 9 and 10 students and 15% for Grades 11 and12 students) will replace the "0" assigned to the cheated/plagiarized assignment. If the student decides not to rewrite the assignment, the assigned mark of "0" on the</li> </ul>

# **EXAMINATIONS**

• If a student is found to have intentionally cheated and/or plagiarized on a Mid-Term Examination, Final Examination, or any other assignment that is part of the Final Culminating Task, the student will receive a mark of "0" on these evaluation assessments and there will be no opportunity for a rewrite.

plagiarized/cheated assignment will stand.

- Students who continue to plagiarize will receive additional consequences as determined by the school's administration such as, but not limited to, loss of participation in co-curricular activities, detentions, and suspensions. MOVED TO PAGE 4
- School Administration will determine if there are any mitigating factors prior to issuing consequences that may include (but are not limited to):
  - 1. the individual circumstances of the student,
  - 2. the grade level of the student, and
  - 3. the maturity of the student.

#### The Ministry of Education addresses Late and Missed assignments when it states:

"It must be made clear to students early in the school year that they are responsible not only for their behaviour in the classroom and the school but also for providing evidence of their achievement of the overall expectations within the time frame specified by the teacher, and in a form approved by the teacher. Students must understand that there will be consequences for not completing assignments for evaluation or for submitting those assignments late. Where in the teacher's professional judgement it is appropriate to do so, a number of strategies may be used to help prevent and/or address late and missed assignments." (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 43*)

# Effective Preventative Measures Strategies

Teachers are expected to implement the following preventative measures as outlined in the Ministry of Education "Growing Success" document to support all students in assessment, evaluation and reporting by:

- asking the student to clarify the reason for not completing the assignment on time;
- helping students develop better time-management skills and work habits;
- collaborating with other staff to prepare a part- or full-year calendar of major assignment dates for every class/subject;
- planning for major assignments to be completed in stages, so that students are less likely to be faced with an all-or-nothing situation at the last minute;
- maintaining ongoing communication through a variety of means with students and/or parents about due dates and late assignments, and scheduling conferences with parents if the problem persists;
- referring the student to the Student Success team or teacher (secondary schools);
- taking into consideration legitimate reasons for missed deadlines;
- setting up a student contract;
- using guidance counselors counseling, child and youth workers, chaplains and / or peer tutoring to try to deal positively with problems;
- holding teacher-student conferences;
- reviewing the need for extra support for English language learners;
- reviewing whether students require special education services in cooperation with special education staff;
- requiring the student to work with a school team to complete the assignment;
- by involving Aboriginal counsellors and members of the extended family; for First Nation, Métis, and Inuit students,
- by understanding and taking into account the cultures, histories, and contexts of First Nation, Métis, and Inuit students and parents and their previous experiences with the school system;
- providing alternative assignments or tests/exams where, in the teacher's professional judgement, it is reasonable and appropriate to do so; and deducting marks for late assignments, up to and including the full value of the assignment." (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 43*)

# THE MEANING AND USE OF "I" – Grades 1 to10

"For Grades 1 to 10, the code "I" may be used in a mark book and/or on a student's report card, including the final report card, to indicate that insufficient evidence is available to determine a letter grade or percentage mark. For the report card, teachers will use their professional judgement to determine when the use of "I" is appropriate and in the best interests of the student.

For example, teachers may find it appropriate to use "I" when evidence of a student's achievement is insufficient because the student has enrolled in the school very recently or because there were issues or extenuating circumstances beyond the student's control, such as protracted illness, that affected his or her attendance and/or ability to provide sufficient evidence of achievement of the overall expectations.

In Grades 9 and 10, a student who receives an "I" on the final report card to indicate insufficient evidence will not receive a credit for the course. However, there may be instances where students in Grades 9 and 10 who receive an "I" on their final report card may be considered for credit recovery. These are cases where, in the professional judgement of the teacher, evidence of achievement is available for at least a few overall expectations, on the basis of which it is possible to identify the remaining expectations that must be addressed and to design a credit recovery program." (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 43*)

# MARK DEDUCTION

Teachers and school administration are asked to consider the following statement from the Ministry of Education in regards to mark deduction:

"Ensure that mark deduction will not result in a percentage mark that, in the professional judgement of the teacher, misrepresents the student's actual achievement." (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 44*)

Therefore, once it has been clearly demonstrated that, the appropriate preventative strategies have been implemented, a teacher may, in consultation with the student, parent and/or school administration, deduct marks for the late/missed assignments.

Please note that the deduction of marks for late/missed assignments can only occur for assignments submitted for assessment and evaluation that will be used for reporting of student achievement in the determination of a student's final grade.

# MARK DEDUCTION

#### Grades 1 to 6

- "In Grades 1 to 6, late and missed assignments for evaluation will be noted on the report card as part of the evaluation of the student's development of the learning skills and work habits" (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 44*)
- The expectation is that students will use their non-class time to complete late and missed assignments (i.e. recess, detentions, etc.).
- There will be no mark deduction for late/missed assignments.
- Until an assignment has been submitted, the code "I" may be used, in the professional judgement of the teacher, in a mark book to indicate that an assignment is late or missed. (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 42*)

## Grades 7 to 8

- "In Grades 7 to 8, late and missed assignments for evaluation will be noted on the report card as part of the evaluation of the student's development of the learning skills and work habits." (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 44*)
- Mark deduction will be limited to 1% per day to a maximum of 5% total deduction in the professional judgement of the teacher.
- The expectation is that students will use their non-class time to complete late and missed assignments (i.e. recess, detentions, etc.).

- If an assignment for evaluation has been evaluated and returned to the class, the student who has not submitted this assignment will receive a new topic reflecting the original assignment in consultation with the teacher.
- Until an assignment has been submitted, the code "I" may be used, in the professional judgement of the teacher, in a mark book to indicate that an assignment is late or missed. (*Ministry of Education*, 2010, p. 42)

# Grades 9 to 10

- "In Grades 9 to 10, late and missed assignments for evaluation will be noted on the report card as part of the evaluation of the student's development of the learning skills and work habits." (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 44*)
- Mark deduction will be limited to 2% per day to a maximum of 10% total deduction in the professional judgement of the teacher.
- The expectation is that students will use their non-class time to complete late and missed assignments (i.e. lunch, detentions, etc.).
- If an assignment for evaluation has been evaluated and returned to the class, the student who has not submitted this assignment will receive a new topic reflecting the original assignment in consultation with the teacher.
- Until an assignment has been submitted, the code "I" or "0", whichever is appropriate in the professional judgement of the teacher, may be used in a mark book to indicate that an assignment is late or missed.
- If the student fails to submit the missed or late assignment one week prior to the end of the semester the teacher may, in consultation with the student, parent and school administration, deduct the full value of the assignment based on the teacher's professional judgement provided that a number of strategies have been used by the classroom teacher to prevent and/or address late and missed assignments.

# Grades 11 to 12

- Mark deduction will be limited to 3% per day to a maximum of 15% in the professional judgement of the teacher.
- If an assessment *of* learning piece has been evaluated and returned to the class, a student who has not submitted this assignment will receive a new topic reflecting the original assignment in consultation with the teacher.
- Until an assignment has been submitted, the code "I" or "0", whichever is appropriate in the professional judgement of the teacher, may be used in a mark book to indicate that an assignment is late or missed.
- If the student fails to submit the missed or late assignment one week prior to the end of the semester the teacher may, in consultation with the student, parent and school administration, deduct the full value of the assignment based on the teacher's professional judgement provided that a number of strategies have been used by the classroom teacher to prevent and/or address late and missed assignments.

# C. LOWER LIMIT FOR GRADES 9 TO 12

The Ministry of Education makes the following statements:

- "For Grades 9 to 12, teachers will use percentage marks to indicate achievement below 50 per cent."
- Individual boards will work collaboratively with their school communities to determine the *lower limit* of the range of percentage marks below 50 per cent that teachers may record on the report cards of students in Grades 9 to 12. It is important that a consistent approach is adopted among all the schools of a board." (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 41*)
- A student that has not successfully achieved the Overall Expectations of a course will be deemed unsuccessful in the course and may be assigned a grade ranging from 30% to 45% in the professional judgement of the teacher.
- At no time shall a grade below 30% or from 46% to 49% appear on the Ontario Provincial Report card (Mid-Term and Final Report Card).

# **D. HOMEWORK**

The Niagara Catholic District School Board promotes family-friendly homework that aligns with the definition of homework from the *Growing Success* document. (*Ministry of Education, 2010, p. 148*)

#### **DEFINITION OF HOMEWORK**:

"Work that students do at home to practice skills, consolidate knowledge and skills, and/or prepare for the next class."

According to Growing Success (Ministry of Education, 2010):

- "Completion of homework is a learning and work habit behaviour" (p. 34).
- "To ensure equity for all students, assignments for evaluation and tests or exams are to be completed, whenever possible, under the supervision of a teacher" (p. 39).
- "Assignments for evaluation must not include ongoing homework that students do in order to consolidate their knowledge and skills or to prepare for the next class" (p. 39).
- Examples of assignments for evaluation include such items as independent study units (secondary), Science Fair projects, Historica projects Heritage Fair, speeches, etc.
- "Homework tasks designed to help students practice and consolidate new learning can also provide assessment information that both teachers and students can use to adjust instruction and focus learning" (p. 34).

#### Homework and Assignments During Statutory / Board Holidays or Professional Activity Days

- Students will not be assigned homework for completion during Statutory / Board holidays or Professional Activity Days as per the Board's school year calendar,
- Students will not be given an assignment for completion prior to Statutory / Board holidays or Professional Activity Days where it is expected that the assignment is to be submitted for evaluation within three (3) school days following students will not be expected to submit assignments for evaluation within three school days following a Statutory / Board holidays or Professional Activity Days as per the Board's school year calendar.

C2.2.2

# TO: NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

TOPIC: VOLUNTARY AND CONFIDENTIAL SELF-IDENTIFICATION POLICY FOR FIRST NATION, MÉTIS AND INUIT STUDENTS (301.9)

# RECOMMENDATION

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole recommend that the Niagara Catholic District School Board approve the Voluntary and Confidential Self-Identification Policy for First Nation, Métis and Inuit Students (301.9), as presented.

Prepared by: Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education

Presented by: Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education

Recommended by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7, 2011

# VOLUNTARY AND CONFIDENTIAL SELF-IDENTIFICATION POLICY FOR FIRST NATION, MÉTIS AND INUIT STUDENTS

Adopted: June 2011

Policy No. 301.9

# **STATEMENT OF POLICY**

The Niagara Catholic District School Board realizes that all people are created equal, in the image of God, each with inimitable characteristics deserving of dignity (Genesis: 1:27). In accordance with the teachings of the Catholic Church and grounded in the value of human dignity, the policy of the Board shall provide in all its operations an educational environment which supports, enables and celebrates the diversity within its Catholic community.

The Board supports that the learning aspirations and potential of First Nation, Métis and Inuit students can be realized through a focus on improved programs and services and builds on strong partnerships with First Nation, Métis and Inuit parents and their communities. The Board will invite all First Nation, Métis and Inuit students to voluntarily and confidentially self-identify.

This Policy has been developed to assist with implementation of the Ontario First Nation, Métis and Inuit Policy Framework, 2007.

The Director of Education will issue Administrative Guidelines in support of this policy.

References:

- Building Bridges to Success for First Nation, Metis and Inuit Students
- Ontario First Nation, Metis and Inuit Education Policy Framework
- Niagara Catholic District School Board Equity and Inclusive Education Policy (100.10)
- Constitutional Act, 1982
- Ontario Education Act, Section 8.1(1): Collection and Use of Personal Information
- Ontario Human Rights Commission Guidelines for Collecting Data on Enumerated Grounds Under the Code
- The Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (MFIPPA)
- Niagara Catholic District School Board Vision 2020 Strategic Plan
## VOLUNTARY AND CONFIDENTIAL SELF-IDENTIFICATION POLICY FOR FIRST NATION, MÉTIS AND INUIT STUDENTS

Adopted: June 2011

Policy No. 301.9

## **ADMINISTRATIVE GUIDELINES**

#### **1.0** Purpose of Voluntary Self – Identification:

- 1.1 To aggregate student achievement data related to the performance of First Nation, Métis and Inuit students.
- 1.2 To assist schools in better understanding the demographics of their population.
- 1.3 To assist students that may require additional programming, targeted initiatives and additional support.

#### 2.0 **Definitions:**

<u>Aboriginal identification</u> refers to the definition in the "Constitution Act, 1982 Section 35 (2)", in that "Aboriginal peoples" include "Indian, Inuit and Métis.

- 2.1 <u>First Nation</u>: Canada's original peoples whose history is interwoven with the creation of 1876 Indian Act, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) and subsequent registry system. The term "First Nation" has been adopted to replace the word "band" in the names of communities.
- 2.2 <u>Status</u>: people registered under the Indian Act who identify with a First Nation community/ancestral land.
- 2.3 <u>Non-Status</u>: people who identify with a First Nation community/ancestral land but are not registered under the INAC registry system.
- 2.4 <u>Métis</u>: people of mixed First Nation and European ancestry. The Métis history and culture draws on diverse ancestral origins, such as Scottish, Irish, French, Ojibwe and Cree.
- 2.5 <u>Inuit</u>: means 'the people' in Inuktitut and generally refers to Canada's original people whose homeland is northern Canada, living mainly in Nunavut, the Northwest Territories, Northern Quebec and Labrador.

#### 3.0 First Nation, Metis and Inuit Voluntary Self-Identification Procedure

- 3.1 Voluntary self-identification of First Nation, Métis or Inuit students may be declared by;
  - Parents/guardians of students under the age of 18;
  - Students 18 years of age and older;
  - Students who are 16 or 17 years of age and are withdrawn from parental control.

- 3.2 Voluntary self-identification shall include all First Nation, Métis or Inuit people, regardless of status.
- 3.3 No proof of ancestry shall be required.
- 3.4 Parents/guardians of new students registering in a Niagara Catholic District School Board elementary or secondary school may voluntarily acknowledge their child's First Nation, Métis or Inuit ancestry on the Student Registration Form and will be invited to self-identify their child at the time of registration. New students 18 years of age and older or new students who are 16 or 17 years of age and are withdrawn from parental control may voluntarily acknowledge their own First Nation, Métis or Inuit ancestry on the Student Registration Form and will be invited to self-identify himself/herself at the time of registration.
- 3.5 Parents/guardians of students currently registered in a Niagara Catholic District School Board elementary or secondary school may voluntarily acknowledge their child's First Nation, Métis or Inuit ancestry on a Student Data Verification Form or a form created for such identification purposes. Students 18 years of age and older or students who are 16 or 17 years of age and are withdrawn from parental control and are currently registered in a Niagara Catholic District School Board secondary school may voluntarily acknowledge their own First Nation, Métis or Inuit ancestry on a Student Data Verification Form or a form created for such identification purposes.
- 3.6 Parents/guardians of students under the age of 18, students 18 years of age and older and students who are 16 or 17 years of age and are withdrawn from parental control shall be entitled to remove the identification at any time, upon written request to the Principal.

#### 4.0 Confidentiality:

- 4.1 All data collected under this policy will be securely stored to respect student privacy and will be treated under the same rules and regulations that govern Ontario Student Records, the Education Act, the Ontario Human Rights Code, the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (MFIPPA) and the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (FIPPA).
- 4.2 Aggregate data and information will be communicated to EQAO (Education Quality and Accountability Office) and the Ministry of Education for reporting purposes of the province. Information given for this purpose will be protected by the protocols as outlined in Section 8.1 of the Education Act for EQAO and the Ministry of Education.

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

TOPIC: STUDENT FEES POLICY (800.3) (INTERIM)

#### RECOMMENDATION

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole recommend that the Niagara Catholic District School Board approve the Student Fees Policy (800.3) (Interim), as presented.

Prepared by: Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells, Superintendent of Education

Presented by: Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells, Superintendent of Education

Recommended by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7, 2011

#### Niagara Catholic District School Board

### **STUDENT FEE POLICY (INTERIM)**

Adopted: Revised: June 2011

**STATEMENT OF POLICY** 

The Niagara Catholic District School Board, through shared and committed leadership, recognizes its responsibility to support all stakeholders in our Catholic community implementing consistent and transparent practices that cultivate equity and inclusion.

The Niagara Catholic District School Board supports every student's right to attend a school, where the student is a qualified resident pupil, without payment of a fee.

The Niagara Catholic District School Board will make every effort to ensure that all students have every opportunity to access all programs and activities offered. The dignity of every student and parent/guardian will be honoured in the collection of fees.

The Niagara Catholic District School Board and its schools may choose to offer programming and materials beyond what is necessary to meet the learning expectations of a particular grade or course. These opportunities may be subject to an additional fee.

The Director of Education will issue Administrative Guidelines in support of this policy.

References:

- Ministry of Education, 2011:B02, March 25, 2011 Memorandum Guidelines for Fees for Learning Materials and Activities
- Niagara Catholic District School Board, School Generated Funds Policy 301.6
- Niagara Catholic District School Board, Equity and Inclusive Education Policy 100.10

Policy No. 302.8

#### Niagara Catholic District School Board

### **STUDENT FEE POLICY (INTERIM)**

Adopted:

June 2011

Policy No. 302.8

## **ADMINISTRATIVE GUIDELINES**

#### Terms of Reference

#### Student Activity Fees

Student activity fees are voluntary amounts that are used to supplement a student's school experience through materials and activities such as student agendas, student recognition programs, yearbooks, co-instructional activities, school dances, or theme days.

#### Enhanced Programming and Materials

Enhanced Programming and materials are voluntary enrichments or upgrades to the curriculum or coinstructional activities beyond what is necessary to meet the learning expectations for a particular grade or course. Where students choose not to access these enhanced programs or materials, alternatives must be available as essential course materials required to meet the learning expectations of the course or grade are to be provided at no cost.

#### **Optional Programming**

Optional Programming refers to voluntary courses or activities that students normally choose to attend through an application process, with the knowledge that these programs are beyond the core curriculum. Examples may include Advanced Placement and Hockey Canada Skills Academy programs.

#### Transparency and Accountability

Fees should reflect the actual cost of the services or materials being provided to the student. A transparent accounting of the amounts collected and expenditures allocated must be made available to the Catholic school community.

Members of the Catholic school community should be consulted in the development of a school's fee schedule and made aware of the use of student fees. Fee schedules will be made available to the Catholic school community.

Fee schedules should include:

- An itemized list of fees that states the rationale and purpose of each fee; and
- Information about the process to confidentially address financial hardship.

To ensure consistency and transparency across all Niagara Catholic schools, the application of fees should reflect the following principles:

- The purposes for which funds are collected are consistent with the Niagara Catholic District School Board mission statement, strategic directions and system priorities.
- Fees raised for school purposes are to complement, and not replace, public funding for education.
- Successful completion of a required grade or course leading to graduation cannot be dependent on the payment of any course fee.
- Students must be able to participate in school activities and access resources regardless of personal financial barriers.

- Schools should address financial hardship and support student participation in activities regardless of economic circumstances.
- A respectful practice for discreet identification of students/parents who may be experiencing financial hardship should be clearly communicated.
- Financial reporting practices to the school community are in place.

#### Fee Charges

When determining whether fee charges may be appropriate, the following criteria may be considered. A fee charge shall be permissible for an activity, material, course or program if it is:

- Not required as part of the regular day school program;
- Voluntary, and alternatives are offered;
- Non-essential or co-instructional in nature and is not required for graduation by an individual student; or
- A voluntary upgrade or substitute of a more costly material to the material provided for course purposes.

Examples of Activities, Programs, and Materials Eligible and Non-eligible for Fee Charges are found in Appendix A.

#### **Best Practices**

In addition, school Principals may consider the following best practices when implementing application of fees in their schools:

- Minimizing, where possible, costs related to enhanced programming and materials (for example, speakers, dance instructors, in-class field trips) that are optional to a course;
- Making every effort to ensure all students can participate in student activities regardless of ability to pay;
- Where a student chooses not to participate, alternative assignments should be provided for students to meet the expectations of the course; and
- Modest student activity fees for student agendas, student recognition, yearbooks, school dances, student council activities and clubs, photographs, co-instructional activities and athletics.

Note: The following examples included are not intended to be a comprehensive list.

Examples of Activities, Programs or Materials Ineligible for Fee Charges

- A registration or administration fee for students enrolled in any regular day school program;
- A textbook fee or deposit;
- Learning materials that are required for completion of the curriculum such as workbooks, cahiers, musical instruments, science supplies, lab material kits and safety goggles;
- Fees charged for the creation of discretionary accounts by teachers or departments;
- Mandatory flat fees for any course leading to graduation other than optional programming;
- A fee for a guest speaker, visiting teacher, or in-class field trip or presentation where the material being presented is a mandatory element of the subject or course;
- Items that are funded through the allocated budget of a school board including, but not limited to learning materials necessary to meet learning expectations such as computers, workbooks, textbooks, staff development and training costs; or
- Learning materials that are required to meet the learning expectations of the course, but are consumed by the pupil and cannot be used again by another student in the next semester, e.g. a chemical used in a chemistry experiment.

#### Examples of Activities, Programs or Materials Potentially Eligible for Fee Charges

- Optional programming such as, Advanced Placement courses or Hockey Canada Skills Academy program;
- Co-instructional trips, events or activities that are extensions to the curriculum and not required for graduation (e.g. dances, school clubs, theme days, athletics, drama, student council activities);
- Extended student trips or excursions that are not necessary to meet the learning expectations of a particular grade or course (e.g. trips abroad);
- Optional art or music supplies or higher quality woodworking, design or technology materials that students choose to use for course completion, as long as the required materials are available at no cost;
- Student activity fees;
- Co-instructional activities, special events, program enhancements or field trips (e.g. for costs of participation, rental of equipment or travel), if alternative programming and assignments are offered to students who choose not to participate; or
- Student agendas, yearbooks.

## C2.3

## TO: NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

**TOPIC: POLICY DEVELOPMENT UPDATE** 

The Policy Development Update is presented for information.

Prepared by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Presented by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7, 2011



## REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR THE MONTH OF JUNE 2011 POLICY DEVELOPMENT UPDATE

Background Information

The Policy Development Update for the month of June 2011 is submitted for the information of Trustees.

A copy of the Policy and Administrative Guidelines - The Establishment and Cyclical Review of Policies Policy (Appendix A) is included for ease of reference.

	POLICIES BEING DEVELOPED/REVIEWED		DUE DATE		APPENDIX
		РС	CW	BD	
	POLICIES BEING DEVELOPED				
1	Employee Code of Conduct	Fall 2011	Fall 2011	Fall 2011	В
	POLICIES BEING REVIEWED				
1 2 3 4 5	Assignment of Principals & Vice-Principals (202.1) Community Use of Schools (800.2) Dress Code - Safe Schools (302.6.6) Educational Field Trips (400.2) Fundraising (301.4) <b>POLICIES/VETTED AND BEING VETTED</b>	Fall 2011 Fall 2011 Fall 2011 Fall 2011 Fall 2011	Fall 2011 Fall 2011 Fall 2011 Fall 2011 Fall 2011	Fall 2011 Fall 2011 Fall 2011 Fall 2011 Fall 2011	C D E F G
1	NIL				
	POLICIES BEING PRESENTED TO THE BOARD				
1 2 3	Assessment, Evaluation And Reporting Policy (800.1) Student Fee Voluntary and Confidential Self-Identification Policy for First Nation, Métis and Inuit Students (301.9)	May 2011 May 2011 May 2011	June 2011 June 2011 June 2011	June 2011 June 2011 June 2011	

Trustees are reminded that the Policies are published on the Board's website www.niagaracatholic.ca.

The Policy Development Update is presented for information.

Prepared by:John Crocco, Director of EducationPresented by:John Crocco, Director of EducationDate:June 7, 2011



## THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CYCLICAL REVIEW OF POLICIES POLICY POLICY # 100.5

#### STATEMENT OF POLICY

The Niagara Catholic District School Board, in order to fulfill its duties and responsibilities, reserves to itself the function of establishing guides for the discretionary action of those to whom it delegates authority. These guides for action will constitute the policies governing the operation of the school system and the internal operations of the Board. The policies pertaining to the internal operations of the Board shall be called bylaws.

The Director of Education, as C.E.O., is accountable to the Board for the implementation of policy and shall issue Administrative Guidelines in support of policy.

The policies of the Board shall be congruent with and supportive of the Mission Statement of the Board.

The process of establishing and reviewing policy will include timely consultation with individuals and groups as deemed appropriate to a particular policy.

The policy shall be based on and supportive of the Catholic Mission Statement of the Board.

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE GUIDELINES**

The development and review of all policies shall be initiated by the Board or the Director of Education.

The Director of Education may delegate the development or revision of Policy Statements and Administrative Guidelines to appropriate members of Senior Administrative Council and staff.

The establishment of new Policies and Administrative Guidelines as well as the cyclical review of existing Policies and Administrative Guidelines will adhere to the following process:

1. The draft Policy will be reviewed by Senior Administrative Council for input.

2. Once approved by the Director of Education, the draft Policy will then be forwarded to the Policy Committee for input and information.

3. The Policy Committee may recommend that the draft Policy be vetted to various stakeholder groups or that it be returned to staff for further study.

4. Once approved by the Policy Committee for vetting, the draft Policy will then be distributed to stakeholder groups as identified in the Policy Development Update Form.

5. Once the vetting process has been completed, the final draft Policy will then be presented to Senior Administrative Council for review.

6. Once approved by the Director of Education, the final draft Policy will then be submitted to the Policy Committee for recommendation to the Committee of the Whole.

7. Once reviewed by the Committee of the Whole, the final draft will then be forwarded to the Board for consideration at its next meeting.

8. The Director of Education will issue Administrative Guidelines if necessary in support of the policy, and will distribute the policy to the system.

9. Policies and Administrative Guidelines will be reviewed with the appropriate staff, who will in turn review with school staff to begin the implementation process.

## VETTING

A draft policy may be vetted with all or any of the following individuals or groups:

Trustees	O.E.C.T.A. Occasionals	Niagara Catholic Parent Involvement
Director of Education	C.U.P.E.	Committee
Superintendents	Managers'/Supervisors' Group	Special Education Advisory Committee
Principals/Vice-Principals	Student Services	The Bishop
Curriculum Support Staff	Principals'/Vice-Principals' Council	Pastors
O.E.C.T.A. Elementary	Non-Unionized Staff	Board Solicitor
O.E.C.T.A. Secondary	Catholic School Council Chairs	Student Senate
		Others

## APPENDIX B



# POLICY DEVELOPMENT UPDATE



For the Month of June 2011

SIEP I – NOTIFICATION (	JF IN.	TENT TO COMMITTEE OF THE	S WHO	LE				
Name of Policy	Employee Code of Conduct			<b>Poli</b> N/	<b>cy #</b> /A	Initiated Board	by	
Intent of Policy	To comply with the recommendation of the Operational Review Team			Issu N/ Rev	/A	Director Sr. Admin. Council		
Resource	Larry Reich, Superintendent of Business & Financial Services Frank Iannantuono, Superintendent of Education				/A	Ministry of Education	~	
Distribution of Vetting		_						
Trustees Principals/V-Principals Director Superintendents Curriculum Support Staff OECTA Elementary OECTA Secondary STEP 2 – DRAFT POLICY I	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	OECTA Occasional Student Services CUPE Managers/Supervisors Principals/V-Principals Council Non-Unionized Staff Catholic School Council Chairs	V       V       V       V       V       V       V       V       V       V       V       V       V       V	Pasto S.E.A Bish Boar	ors A.C. op d Solicito ent Senat	or	nt Committee	*       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *
Stakeholders		Date of Notification to Committee of	the Whol	le		June 7	7, 2011	
Senior Administrative Counc	cil	Date of Draft Policy Reviewed				Fall 2	010	
Trustees		Date Draft Policy Sent to Trustees				Fall 2	010	
Stakeholders		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed				Fall 2	010	
Policy Committee		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed			Fall 2010			
Committee of the Whole		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed				Fall 2	010	

An Employee Code of Conduct Policy is being developed to ensure continued compliance with the Education Statutes and Regulations of Ontario and other relevant legislation, as well as to ensure that the Policy and Administrative Guidelines continues to meet the goals, best practices and needs of the system.



## For the Month of June 2011

STEP 1 – NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE					
Name of PolicyAssignment of Principals and Vice-Principals (202.1)					
Resource	source Frank Iannantuono, Superintendent of Education				
Distribution of Vetting					
Trustees Principals/V-Principals Director Superintendents Curriculum Support Staff OECTA Elementary OECTA Secondary	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	OECTA Occasional Student Services CUPE Managers/Supervisors Principals/V-Principals Council Non-Unionized Staff Catholic School Council Chairs	<ul> <li>✓ NC Parent In</li> <li>✓ Pastors</li> <li>✓ S.E.A.C.</li> <li>✓ Bishop</li> <li>✓ Board Solicit</li> <li>✓ Student Sena</li> <li>✓ Others</li> </ul>		
STEP 2 – DRAFT POLICY RE Stakeholders	VIEW	Date of Notification to Committee of	the Whole	May 2010	
Senior Administrative Council		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed		Fall 2010	
Trustees		Date Draft Policy Sent to Trustees		Fall 2010	
Stakeholders		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed		Fall 2010	
Policy Committee		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed		Fall 2010	
Committee of the Whole		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed		Fall 2010	
COMMENTS					

The current policy is being reviewed as part of the cyclical Policy and Administrative Guidelines Review Process to ensure continued compliance with the Education Statutes and Regulations of Ontario and other relevant legislation, as well as to ensure that the Policy and Administrative Guidelines continues to meet the goals, best practices and needs of the system.



## For the Month of June 2011

STEP 1 – NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE						
Name of Policy	Community Use of Schools Policy (800.2)					
Resource	Jam	es Woods, Controller of Plant				
Distribution of Vetting						
Trustees Principals/V-Principals Director Superintendents Curriculum Support Staff OECTA Elementary OECTA Secondary	<ul> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> </ul>	OECTA Occasional Student Services CUPE Managers/Supervisors Principals/V-Principals Council Non-Unionized Staff Catholic School Council Chairs		NC Parent Inv Pastors S.E.A.C. Bishop Board Solicito Student Senat Others		✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
STEP 2 – DRAFT POLICY RE	VIEW	1				
Stakeholders		Date of Notification to Committee of	f the Whole		May 2010	
Senior Administrative Council		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed			Fall 2010	
Trustees		Date Draft Policy Sent to Trustees		Fall 2010		
Stakeholders		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed			Fall 2010	
Policy Committee		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed			Fall 2010	
Committee of the Whole		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed		Fall 2010		
COMMENTS		•				

The current policy is being reviewed as part of the cyclical Policy and Administrative Guidelines Review Process to ensure continued compliance with the Education Statutes and Regulations of Ontario and other relevant legislation, as well as to ensure that the Policy and Administrative Guidelines continues to meet the goals, best practices and needs of the system.



## For the Month of June 2011

STEP 1 – NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE						
Name of Policy	Name of PolicyDress Code - Safe Schools Policy (302.6.6)					
Resource	Fran	k Iannantuono, Superintendent of E	ducation	1		
Distribution of Vetting Trustees Principals/V-Principals Director Superintendents Curriculum Support Staff OECTA Elementary OECTA Secondary	✓           ✓           ✓           ✓           ✓           ✓           ✓           ✓           ✓           ✓           ✓           ✓	OECTA Occasional Student Services CUPE Managers/Supervisors Principals/V-Principals Council Non-Unionized Staff Catholic School Council Chairs	✓       ✓	NC Parent In Pastors S.E.A.C. Bishop Board Solicit Student Senat Others		<ul> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> </ul>
STEP 2 – DRAFT POLICY RI	EVIEW	7				
Stakeholders		Date of Notification to Committee of	f the Who	ble	Nov. 2010	
Senior Administrative Council		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed			Fall 2011	
Trustees		Date Draft Policy Sent to Trustees		Fall 2011		
Stakeholders		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed		Fall 2011		
Policy Committee		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed		Fall 2011		
Committee of the Whole		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed			Fall 2011	
COMMENTS						

The current policy is being reviewed as part of the cyclical Policy and Administrative Guidelines Review Process to ensure continued compliance with the Education Statutes and Regulations of Ontario and other relevant legislation, as well as to ensure that the Policy and Administrative Guidelines continues to meet the goals, best practices and needs of the system.



## For the Month of June 2011

STEP 1 – NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE						
Name of Policy	Educational Field Trips Policy (400.2)					
Resource	Yola	nda Baldasaro, Superintendent of E	ducatior	1		
Distribution of Vetting						
Trustees Principals/V-Principals Director Superintendents Curriculum Support Staff OECTA Elementary OECTA Secondary	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	OECTA Occasional Student Services CUPE Managers/Supervisors Principals/V-Principals Council Non-Unionized Staff Catholic School Council Chairs	<ul> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li></ul>	NC Parent Inv Pastors S.E.A.C. Bishop Board Solicite Student Senat Others		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>
STEP 2 – DRAFT POLICY REV	/IEW	7				
Stakeholders		Date of Notification to Committee of	the Who	ble	June 2010	
Senior Administrative Council		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed			Fall 2010	
Trustees		Date Draft Policy Sent to Trustees			Fall 2010	
Stakeholders		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed			Fall 2010	
Policy Committee		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed		Fall 2010		
Committee of the Whole		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed			Fall 2010	
COMMENTS						

The current policy is being reviewed as part of the cyclical Policy and Administrative Guidelines Review Process to ensure continued compliance with the Education Statutes and Regulations of Ontario and other relevant legislation, as well as to ensure that the Policy and Administrative Guidelines continues to meet the goals, best practices and needs of the system.



## For the Month of June 2011

Name of Policy	Fu	ndraising Policy (301.4)				
Resource	Larr	y Reich, Superintendent of Business	& Finar	ncial Services		
Distribution of Vetting						
Trustees Principals/V-Principals Director Superintendents Curriculum Support Staff OECTA Elementary OECTA Secondary	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	OECTA Occasional Student Services CUPE Managers/Supervisors Principals/V-Principals Council Non-Unionized Staff Catholic School Council Chairs	<ul> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li></ul>	NC Parent Inv Pastors S.E.A.C. Bishop Board Solicito Student Senat Others		✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
STEP 2 – DRAFT POLICY F	REVIEW	r				
Stakeholders		Date of Notification to Committee of	f the Who	le	June 2010	
Senior Administrative Counc	il	Date of Draft Policy Reviewed			Fall 2010	
Trustees		Date Draft Policy Sent to Trustees			Fall 2010	
Stakeholders	Date of Draft Policy Reviewed Fall		Fall 2010			
Policy Committee		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed			Fall 2010	
Committee of the Whole		Date of Draft Policy Reviewed			Fall 2010	

The current policy is being reviewed as part of the cyclical Policy and Administrative Guidelines Review Process to ensure continued compliance with the Education Statutes and Regulations of Ontario and other relevant legislation, as well as to ensure that the Policy and Administrative Guidelines continues to meet the goals, best practices and needs of the system.

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

## **TOPIC:** ANNUAL NIAGARA CATHOLIC GRADUATION CELEBRATION 2011

The report on the Annual Niagara Catholic Graduation Celebration 2011 is presented for information.

Prepared by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Presented by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7, 2011



# REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING JUNE 7<sup>th</sup>, 2011

## ANNUAL NIAGARA CATHOLIC GRADUATION CELEBRATION

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

In May of 2001, under the leadership of the Student Senate, all upcoming graduates of the Class of 2001 gathered for mass at St. Alfred Catholic Church with His Excellency Bishop John O'Mara celebrating a special Mass for the graduates. This celebration was repeated in May of 2002 at St. Alfred Catholic Church with His Excellency Bishop James Wingle.

In consultation with the Bishop, the Office of Religious Education along with discussions with Secondary Principals, Program Chairs of Religion, Chaplaincy Leaders and Board staff, it was decided in the fall of 2002 that we would continue the celebration as an annual May event under the combined leadership of student and staff members associated with the Student Senate, Chaplaincy Leaders and the Office of Religious Education for the Diocese.

Following discussions, it was determined that the format of the annual celebration would include;

- a) An Opening Celebration for the graduates from each Catholic secondary school
- b) An address to the graduates by the Chair of the Board and the Director of Education
- c) A Prayer Service lead by the Bishop with a special message to the graduates
- d) A musical celebration of Catholic education
- e) An address to the graduates by a prominent keynote speaker
- f) A video montage of the graduating class from all Catholic secondary schools
- g) A special gift blessed by the Bishop for the graduates
- h) A message from the Holy Father to all graduates
- i) A final Blessing by the Bishop for all graduates

#### Keynote Speakers

Each year our keynote speakers provide inspirational messages to our graduates as they celebrate a culmination of a Kindergarten to Grade 12 Catholic education, what our students have become as graduates from a Catholic system and the important role they must play as Catholic leaders in society. Our keynote speakers have been;

2003 – Buffy Alexander 2004 – Romeo Dallaire 2005 – Terrence Eta 2006 – Sandra Pupatello 2007 – Justin Trudeau 2008 – Roch Carrier 2009 – Father Tony Ricard 2010 – Chris D'Souza 2011 – Geoff Green As the annual event continues to develop, with approximately 2,000 students annually graduating from our Niagara Catholic secondary schools, the celebration had been held at Brock University between 2003 and 2005, at the Niagara Regional Exhibition Fairgrounds in Welland from 2006 to 2010 and on May 19<sup>th</sup>, 2011 it was held at the new ScotiaBank Convention Centre in Niagara Falls with His Excellency Bishop Gerard Bergie.

This information report will be highlighted through a brief videography of the Graduation Celebration 2011.

The report on Annual Niagara Catholic Graduation Celebration is presented for information.

Prepared by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Presented by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7<sup>th</sup>, 2011

## **PUBLIC SESSION**

## **TOPIC: IMPROVING STUDENT SUCCESS THROUGH TECHNOLOGICAL ENHANCEMENTS**

The report on the Improving Student Success Through Technological Enhancements is presented for information.

Prepared by: Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education David Pihach, Administrator: Student Success
Presented by: Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education David Pihach, Administrator: Student Success
Approved by: John Crocco, Director of Education
Date: June 7, 2011



## REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING JUNE 7<sup>th</sup>, 2011

## IMPROVING STUDENT SUCCESS THROUGH TECHNOLOGICAL ENHANCEMENTS

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

During the 2008-2009 school year, staff with responsibility in the area of Student Success began a five year plan of rolling out a number of technological initiatives to improve the communication process and transfer of information between Niagara Catholic Elementary and Secondary schools. These technological initiatives have not only improved pathway planning for our students, but have helped to support Niagara Catholic "Green initiatives" by reducing the amount of paper consumption.

The information below provides a summary of the three technological initiatives supported by Student Success staff and school personnel.

#### 1. The Annual Education Plan (AEP) for Grades 7 through 12

Currently, all students in Grades Seven, Eight and Nine complete their Annual Education Plans (AEPs) in an electronic format. During the 2010-2011, the Grade Ten Career and Guidance classes at Notre Dame College School and Holy Cross Catholic Secondary School are piloting the completion of the electronic AEP within their students. The intent of this pilot is to determine a framework on how to move this initiative forward in the remaining secondary schools for the 2011-2012 school year. The Ministry of Education originally introduced the completion of the AEP process in 1999 using a booklet format. Niagara Catholic has taken a provincial lead in transposing the AEP format to an on-line concept. The electronic AEP follows the student from grade to grade and from school to school.

#### 2. The Educational Support Plan (ESP) for Grades 9 through 12

The development of the Educational Support Plan was initiated by Student Success staff during the 2010 -2011 school year. Student Success Teachers at each of our Catholic secondary schools create an electronic Educational Support Plan (ESP) for students with "at-risk" educational profiles in Grades 9 through12. The ESP is a tracking tool used to guide students towards a viable educational and post-secondary pathway. Information in the individual student ESP can be retrieved electronically by a future Student Success Teacher where necessary to provide further assistance. In addition, if a student moves from one school site to another, the individual ESP follows the student electronically to the new school site. In addition to providing support for students deemed "at-risk", the Championship Team Crown Ward initiative for the Niagara Region is pleased that all students designated as Crown Wards are also supported by the ESP process.

#### 3. Student Transition Form (STF)

Niagara Catholic has a history of our Grade Eight teachers and Elementary Principals preparing paper format "transition forms" that assist the receiving secondary schools personnel to develop course selections and supports for their in-coming students. Beginning this past April 2011, the transition process moved to a new electronic format – the Student Transition Form (STF). The new format allows secondary school Student Success Teams immediate information to support the creation of individualized timetables for their future Grade Nine students and to reduce paper consumption.

Staff with Niagara Catholic continue to lead the way with tracking mechanisms that better serve its student population and strive to be environmentally conscientious.

The report on Improving Student Success through Technological Enhancements is presented for information.

- Prepared by: Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education David Pihach, Administrator: Student Success
- Presented by: Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education David Pihach, Administrator: Student Success
- Approved by: John Crocco, Director of Education
- Date: June 7<sup>th</sup>, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

# TOPIC:RESEARCH PROJECTS IN THE NIAGARA CATHOLICDISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2010-2011 SCHOOL YEAR

The report on Research Projects in the Niagara Catholic District School Board 2010-2011 School Year, is presented for information.

Prepared by:	Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells, Superintendent of Education Robert Di Persio, Administrator-Research, Assessment and Evaluation
Presented by:	Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells, Superintendent of Education Robert Di Persio, Administrator-Research, Assessment and Evaluation
Approved by:	John Crocco, Director of Education
Date:	June 7, 2011



## REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING JUNE 7, 2011

## RESEARCH PROJECTS IN THE NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2010-2011 SCHOOL YEAR

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Research projects in the Niagara Catholic District School Board have supported the two Strategic Directions for 2010-2011 school year. More specifically, educational research conducted in the Niagara Catholic District School Board elementary and secondary schools focus on nurturing Catholic identity and advancing student achievement for all students.

The Priority Indicator that focuses on research states:

• To continue to expand educational research in the Board to include Board research projects, the sharing of current research, and an extension of research partnerships with outside agencies by June 2012.

The Ministry of Education is committed to developing and implementing policies and programs that are evidence-based, research-informed and connected to the goals of:

- high levels of student achievement;
- reduced gaps in student achievement; and
- increased public confidence in publicly funded education.

In addition, the Research Branch of the Ministry of Education has solidified the importance of education research to improve student achievement in Ontario schools with the Research and Evaluation Strategy document.

The Ministry of Education Research and Evaluation Strategy comprises:

- **leading** the ministry's research agenda to coordinate and manage ministry research activities to support provincial educational goals;
- **applying** research and evaluation to support evidence-based policy and program decisions and practices;
- **building** individual and organizational **capacity** to access, use and conduct research;
- **fostering** research collaboration through **networking and partnerships** between and among ministry staff researchers and educators across Ontario, to address priority research needs;
- communicating information about existing and new research activities and findings; and
- **contributing** to the provincial, national and international body of research knowledge about educational policies, programs, and practices.

#### Research Ethics Review Committee

The Research Ethics Review Committee of the Niagara Catholic District School Board meets monthly to review numerous research projects presented for consideration by various researchers representing universities, government agencies, and other education stakeholders. The committee makes recommendations to the Superintendent of Education for consideration for approval of research projects within the Board.

This Committee has also provided input into the development of the revised Niagara Catholic District School Board's Education-Based Research Policy No. 800.5 approved by the Board on April 26, 2011.

During the 2010-2011 school year, the Research Department from the Niagara Catholic District School Board has made several presentations at Brock University about the research being conducted within the elementary and secondary schools in the Niagara Catholic District School Board.

#### Research Ethics Review Committee Members:

Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells, Superintendent of Education Robert Di Persio, Administrator- Research, Assessment and Evaluation Department (Chairperson) Khayyam Syne, Administrator of Staff Development Marcel Jacques, Administrator - Special Education John Boyer, Elementary School Principal Lori MacKenzie, Elementary School Principal Dean Stunt, Elementary School Principal

This report will also include a letter from the University of Waterloo to thank the Board for implementing the 2010-2011 Youth Smoking Survey at Mother Teresa Catholic Elementary School.

The following Research Projects were conducted in elementary and secondary schools during the 2010-2011 school year.

#### **RESEARCH PROJECTS 2010-2011**

Research Title	Organization
HBEAT-Social Determinants of Child Hypertension, Phase 2-	Dr. T. Wade-
Stage 2c, File #10-01-01-5 amendment	Brock University
The Increasing Risk of Premature Cardiovascular Disease and Diabetes in Grade 5 Students: The Heart Niagara Healthy	Karen Stearne
Schools Program, File # 02-23-11-2	
Power in the Classroom, File # 02-23-11-3	Melaina Gasbarrino
Mentor Texts, Writing with the Genres and Traits, and How to	Alison Morawek
Assess it All, File # 02-23-11-4	
Community Living Port Colborne-Wainfleet Mentor Survey File # 02-25-11-1	Lucille Terreberry-Stepanchuk
School Climate Survey Grades 4 to 6, File # 04-04-11-1	Frank Iannantuono and
	Robert Di Persio-NCDSB

School Climate Survey Grades 7 to 12, File # 04-04-11-2	Frank Iannantuono and Robert Di Persio-NCDSB
School Climate Survey Staff, File # 04-04-11-3	Frank Iannantuono and Robert Di Persio-NCDSB
School Climate Survey Grades Parents/Guardians, File # 04-04-11-4	Frank Iannantuono and Robert Di Persio-NCDSB
Growing Success-Learning Goals and Success Criteria: A Collaborative Inquiry, File # 04-04-11-5	Jennifer De Coff- Consultant NCDSB
Summer Family Literacy Program (2010-2011) Amendment File # 04-04-11-6	Jackie Van Lankveld
Empirical Calibration and Validation of the STEP Proficiency Descriptor Scales, File # 04-04-11-7	Dr. Eunize Jang and Dr. Jim Cummins
2010/2011 Youth Smoking Survey (YSS) File # 12-09-10-1	Dr. Steve Manske- University of Waterloo
The College Access and Careers Success Guide-Resources to Increase Skills Development and Labour Market Participation in Ontario: The Career Guidance Needs of Grade 10 Students in Ontario, File # 12-09-10-2	Dr. P. Dietscke-OISE/University of Toronto
The Early Development Instrument (EDI) 2011, File # 12-09-10-3	Sandra Noel-Niagara Public Health
The Development of Children's Social Attitudes-(Note: Denied)	Kimberly Costello and Dr. G. Hodson-Brock University
Working Together for Kids Mental Health File # 10-20-10-1	Government of Ontario
Every Children's Program File # 10-05-11-1	Janice Makepeace-Town of Lincoln
Violent Video Game Playing, Moral Reasoning, Attitudes Towards Real Violence in Adolescents File # 09-30-10-1 (Note: Denied)	Maryanne Bajovic
Study of Beliefs and Emotions in Girls High School Physical Education, File # 10-01-10-1	Dr. Ken Lodewyk and Ms. Amber Muir-Brock University
2011 Ontario Student Drug Use and Health Survey File # 10-01-10-2	Dr. Mann-CAMH
Development of Face Perceptions File # 10-01-10-3	Dr. Cathy Mondloch-Brock University
Knowledge, Creation, Moblization, Processes File # 10-01-10-4	Steven Reid-Calgary University

Senior Kindergarten Parent Survey	Sandra Noel-Niagara Region
File # 10-01-10-6	
The Design and Development of an Early Language and Literacy	Dr. Linda Phillips
Screening Test File # 01-17-11-1	

The Research Department of the Niagara Catholic District School Board will continue to work with all partners conducting research in the Board to support Board and provincial educational goals.

The Report on the Research Projects in the Niagara Catholic District School Board for the 2010-2011 school year is presented for information.	

Prepared by:	Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells, Superintendent of Education Robert Di Persio, Administrator-Research, Assessment and Evaluation
Presented by:	Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells, Superintendent of Education Robert Di Persio, Administrator-Research, Assessment and Evaluation
Approved by:	John Crocco, Director of Education
Date:	June 7, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

**TOPIC: STUDENT SUPPORT LEADERSHIP INITIATIVE** 

The report on the Student Support Leadership Initiative is presented for information.

Prepared by:	Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Marcel Jacques, Administrator of Special Education
Presented by:	Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Marcel Jacques, Administrator of Special Education
Approved by:	John Crocco, Director of Education
Date:	June 7, 2011



## **REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING** JUNE 7<sup>TH</sup>, 2011

## STUDENT SUPPORT LEADERSHIP INITIATIVE

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

#### Student Support Leadership Initiative (SSLI)

Increasing mental health support for children and youth has been recognized as a key challenge that must be addressed. The Student Support Leadership Initiative is a provincial project focused on building and enhancing partnerships that will meet the mental health needs of students and their families.

In 2008, the Ministry of Children and Youth Services and the Ministry of Education jointly launched this three-year initiative. Twenty-nine geographic clusters were established across Ontario. Neighbouring school boards and local community agencies were asked to work together to better support students through collaborative planning, coordination and referrals.

In 2010, the initiative was renewed for an additional three years, and now includes the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care as a third sector partner. Existing SSLI clusters invited health sector partners to participate and to develop joint referral processes with the schools and child and youth mental health agencies. The three sectors are working together to respond to the mental health needs of our students.

#### Structure of SSLI Niagara

The Niagara SSLI cluster is comprised of the signing members, as well as additional representatives from various agencies and groups involved with child and youth mental health and addiction issues.

#### **SSLI Niagara Signing Members**

- Niagara Catholic District School Board
- District School Board of Niagara
- Contact Niagara
- Family and Children's Services
- John Howard Society of Niagara
- Pathstone Mental Health
- Bridges Community Health Centre
- Community Addiction Services Niagara
- Niagara Falls Community Health Centre
- Niagara Health System
- Niagara Region Public Health
- Quest Community Health Centre
- Niagara Regional Police Youth Justice
- Parents for Children's Mental Health
- Rainbow Youth
- Fort Erie Native Friendship Centre
- Canadian Mental Health Association

There are currently four subcommittees who report back to the larger SSLI table.

#### • Community Engagement Subcommittee

This subcommittee's goal is to identify best practices to inform and engage parents of children and youth with mental health issues, as well as the children and youth themselves, about health services that may serve their needs.

#### • Professional Development Subcommittee

The work of this subcommittee focuses on identifying, developing, and implementing various programs and activities to inform educators about mental health issues. An additional goal is to, in turn, help community agencies understand the workings of a classroom and understand school interventions for children and youth with mental health challenges.

#### • Youth Justice Subcommittee

This subcommittee is tasked with learning the various approaches used by local agencies with respect to youth justice, and ways of improving collaboration and coordination in order to best meet the needs of students in the Niagara Youth Justice system. A focus group has been held involving key agencies and groups who vary in their roles and responsibilities, and the results of this focus group will inform the future work of this subcommittee.

#### • Communications Subcommittee

The role of the Communications Subcommittee is to inform SSLI cluster members and their respective boards and agencies of the work of the Niagara SSLI, through regular newsletters and updates. This group also examines ways to disseminate information about mental health and related services to Niagara residents.

#### 2010-2011 Objectives of the Niagara SSLI

The Niagara SSLI is building on past success, and proceeding with implementing additional activities to improve awareness and understanding of mental health concerns of children and youth in the region, including services and supports. Some key objectives for this year included:

- Development of partnership protocols, and listing of partnerships and service enhancement agreements;
- Professional development for school board and agency staff to improve capacity and awareness;
- Communication strategies to better inform SSLI cluster members in the Niagara community of SSLI activities and resources;
- Identification of ways to strengthen the parent and youth engagement in the provision of mental health services.

#### Accomplishments of the Niagara SSLI

#### **Building Relationships and Awareness**

Through regular meetings, cluster members have increased their awareness, understanding, and appreciation of each member's services, mandates, and functions. Regular meetings among cluster members are held to review services for children and youth, consider policies and protocols, and discuss community needs.

#### **Professional Development Focus Groups**

Two focus groups were established between Pathstone Mental Health and each of the district school boards (the Niagara Catholic District School Board and the District School Board of Niagara). The purpose of these focus groups was to discuss how to meet the needs of and educate students with mental health concerns. Some of the key findings from the focus group discussions include a need for education and training for all stakeholders, in-school support from community agencies, and increased and

improved family/school communication. These results are influencing future professional development activities, as well as encouraging further discussion among cluster members.

#### **Youth Justice Focus Group**

The Youth Justice Subcommittee conducted a focus group involving agencies and school boards concerned with students in the Youth Justice system who are dealing with mental health issues. Participants included agencies who deal primarily with offenders, those dealing with students deemed at risk for mental health problems and offending, and those whose role it is to provide support for these two groups. The results are contributing to the enhancement of joint inter-agency/school board coordination and collaboration in the delivery of services for students in the Youth Justice system.

#### **Current Activities of the Niagara SSLI**

#### Parent Engagement - Your Kids, Your Voice

In March of 2011, the SSLI Community Engagement Subcommittee, in partnership with Parents for Children's Mental Health, held a community consultation with the parents of children and youth with mental health and addiction problems. This event offered parents an opportunity to share their insights into effective ways to inform, serve and involve them in the delivery of child and youth mental health and addiction services. The outcomes of this consultation will help to inform the SSLI to influence further professional development and communications activities.

#### **Professional Development**

The Professional Development Subcommittee is working on expanding existing models of mental health awareness and support. The goals are three-fold: reduce stigma among students; inform parents of signs and tips for dealing with various mental health issues; and help teachers recognize mental health issues and formulate classroom accommodations.

#### **Communications – eMentalHealth Website**

The Niagara SSLI is working with the Centre of Excellence for Child and Youth Mental Health to set up a local online mental health resource directory – eMentalHealth.ca. This website helps people find out where they can get help for mental health problems locally. The site includes many other useful resources, including mental health news, a mental health "check-up", and a mental health events calendar. There is also a library, featuring a variety of resources for parents, educators, and mental health professionals. The information can be accessed by visiting www.ementalhealth.ca.

The report on Student Support Leadership Initiative is presented for information.

Prepared by:	Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Marcel Jacques, Administrator of Special Education
Presented by:	Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Marcel Jacques, Administrator of Special Education
Approved by:	John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7<sup>th</sup>, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

**TOPIC: SPECIAL EDUCATION PLAN** 

The report on the Special Education Plan is presented for information.

Prepared by:	Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Marcel Jacques, Administrator of Special Education
Presented by:	Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Marcel Jacques, Administrator of Special Education
Approved by:	John Crocco, Director of Education
Date:	June 7, 2011



## **REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING** JUNE 7<sup>TH</sup>, 2011

## SPECIAL EDUCATION PLAN

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Recently, the Ministry of Education has changed its requirements regarding the approval of the Special Education Plan by the Special Education Advisory Committee. The approval of the Plan by the Special Education Advisory Committee and the submission of the Plan to the Ministry of Education are no longer required. The Niagara Catholic District School Board has embraced the Ministry of Education's direction to embed the area of Special Education into its Board Improvement Plan (BIP), and to share the BIP with the Special Education Advisory Committee.

The Niagara Catholic District School Board recognizes that the Special Education Plan is a valuable resource to students, parents and school and Board staff and thus, will maintain the document with yearly revisions and continue to place it on the Board website. In the fall of each school year, the revised Special Education Plan will be shared with the Special Education Advisory Committee and with the Board of Trustees for information and discussion.

A copy of the updated Special Education Plan will be provided to Trustees at the Board Meeting.

The report on the Special Education Plan is presented for information.

Prepared by:	Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Marcel Jacques, Administrator of Special Education
Presented by:	Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education Marcel Jacques, Administrator of Special Education
Approved by:	John Crocco, Director of Education
Date:	June 7 <sup>th</sup> , 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

**TOPIC: LARKIN ESTATE AWARDS 2011** 

## RECOMMENDATION

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole recommend that the Niagara Catholic District School Board approve the payment of \$11,000.00 for Larkin Estate Admission Awards as presented.

Prepared by: Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education

Presented by: Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education

Recommended by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7, 2011



## REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING JUNE 7th, 2011

## LARKIN ESTATE ADMISSION AWARDS 2011-2012

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

The Larkin Estate Admission Awards are administered by the Board of Trustees of the Niagara Catholic District School Board. Funding for the awards comes from a bequest from the estate of Maria Eveleen Larkin and Aimee Theresa Larkin. These awards have been administered annually since 1969 by the Board of Trustees of the former Lincoln County R.C.S.S. Board

According to the terms of the bequest, the undistributed earnings may be paid to eligible students who are approved by the Board.

The Larkin Estate Admission Award is available to graduates of a Catholic high school situated in the jurisdiction of the Niagara Catholic District School Board. Candidates must be enrolled in St. Michael's College, University of Toronto, or a school of nursing, social service work or a college or university offering such course. Applications have been reviewed and a list of qualifying candidates has been prepared, as noted on Appendix A.

The applicants have been made aware that they must provide proof that they have registered in the eligible courses and/or school in order to receive the award. This proof is in the form of an official letter from the registrar of either St. Michael's College or a school of nursing, social service work or a college or university offering such course. Candidates are also obliged to submit evidence of successful completion of their year's study in order to qualify for a renewal of the award. (Appendix B)

#### RECOMMENDATION

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole recommend that the Niagara Catholic District School Board approve the payment of \$11,000.00 for Larkin Estate Admission Awards as presented.

Prepared by: Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education

Presented by: Yolanda Baldasaro, Superintendent of Education

Recommended by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7<sup>th</sup>, 2011
### **APPENDIX A**

### LARKIN ESTATE AWARDS 2011-2012

### **New Applicants**

Name	Degree/Diploma	Graduate of
Ashley Litalien Niagara College	Nursing	Notre Dame College School
Courtney Kovacich University of Toronto	Humanities - BA	Lakeshore Catholic High School
Benjamin Courtney McMaster University	Nursing - BScN	Blessed Trinity Catholic Secondary School
Alysha Ducharme Niagara College	Social Service Worker	Notre Dame College School
Melaney Turner McMaster University	Nursing - BScN	Notre Dame College School
Angela Laramee-Marchio Fleming College	Child & Youth Worker	Notre Dame College School
Lindsay Paquette Lambton College	Child and Youth Worker	Notre Dame College School
Znak Ovenden Trent University	Nursing (BScN)	Notre Dame College School
Katie Lynn McLean McMaster University	Nursing	Holy Cross Catholic Secondary School
Victoria Styrna University of Toronto	International Relations (Bachelor of Arts and Science)	Blessed Trinity Catholic Secondary School
Michael Favero McMaster University	Nursing (BScN)	Denis Morris Catholic High School
Breann Hadley Brock University	Nursing (BScN honours)	Denis Morris Catholic High School

### **APPENDIX B**

\_\_\_\_\_

### LARKIN ESTATE AWARDS 2011-2012

### **Renewal Applicants**

Applicant Name & Year	Degree/Diploma	Graduate of			
Elizabeth Marie Toner Brock University 2	Child and Youth Studies	Holy Cross Secondary School			
Amanda Lefleur Niagara College 2	Child and Youth Worker	Notre Dame College School			
Hilary Nolle McMaster University 2	Nursing	Notre Dame College School			
Samantha SymondsWestern University2	Social Science (Linguistics)	Notre Dame College School			
Erika Rogers Brock University 2	Nursing – B. SC.	Notre Dame College School			
Olivia Hunter Trent University 2	Nursing	Notre Dame College School			
Ashley Cascanette McMaster University 2	Nursing – B. SC.	Blessed Trinity Catholic Secondary School			
Ariana Visentin Brock University 3	Nursing - BSN	Denis Morris Catholic High School			
Allysha Tesa Litalien University of Western Ontario 4	Nursing	Notre Dame College School			
Nicole Magno Ryerson University 4	Nursing – B. SC.	Denis Morris Catholic Secondary School			
Brittany Young Brock Unviersity 4	Nursing – B. SC.	Denis Morris Catholic Secondary School			
Adelaine NoharaFranciscan University ofSteubenville4	Philosophy/Theology/Bioethics	Notre Dame College School			
Andrea Vargas-Sanchez University of Toronto 4	Mathematics and Physical Science	Notre Dame College School			
Simone Gomes 4 McMaster University	Social Sciences (Social Work)	Denis Morris Catholic High School			
Jessica Wichmann 4 University of Western Ontario	Nursing – B.SC.	Notre Dame College School			
Catherine Larouche Brock University 4	Nursing	Notre Dame College School			

### TO: NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

**TOPIC: STAFF DEVELOPMENT UPDATE 2010-2011** 

The report on Staff Development Update 2010-2011, is presented for information.

Prepared by:Frank Iannantuono, Superintendent of Education<br/>Khayyam Syne, Administrator of Staff DevelopmentPresented by:Frank Iannantuono, Superintendent of Education<br/>Khayyam Syne, Administrator of Staff DevelopmentApproved by:John Crocco, Director of EducationDate:June 7, 2011



### REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING JUNE 7, 2011

### STAFF DEVELOPMENT UPDATE 2010-2011

### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

In alignment with the Board's System Priorities and Vision 2020, the Department of Staff Development, as an integral aspect of its mandate, acts as the point of co-ordination among various departments. Thus ensuring that all professional development opportunities for staff, both teaching and non-teaching, occur in a seamless fashion so as to minimize disruptions to the myriad services provided within our Niagara Catholic community.

The following is a listing of activities that will be occurring during the period June 7, 2011, through June 29, 2011. It should be noted that this will be a brief list as the goal of the department is to not interrupt the flow of the classroom as much as possible in the month of June.

### Friday, June 10, 2011

Elementary and Secondary Daily Occasional Teachers

The second of two full-day professional development days mutually agreed upon by the joint Niagara Catholic and OECTA professional development committee. This day will see this group of teachers introduced to Safe School legislation and Special Education technology that is available to be used in the classroom.

### Elementary and Secondary Educational Assistants(EA)

- A full-day program that will see this group of support staff engage in the development of transition booklets for grade to grade transitions and for new Junior Kindergarten students entering school in September. There will also be a session dealing with new computer software.

### Elementary and Child and Youth Workers (CYW)

- A half-day workshop designed to assist this group of support staff with strategies and knowledge required when working with students who demonstrate difficult personality traits.

### Tuesday, June 21, 2011

### Elementary School Secretaries

- The first of three workshops designed to help this group to accurately report student information required by the Ministry of Education under Onsis guidelines.

### Wednesday, June 22, 2011

### Elementary School Secretaries

- The second of three workshops designed to help this group to accurately report student
- information required by the Ministry of Education under Onsis guidelines.

### Elementary Educational Assistants

- The first of four half-day sessions to train this group of support staff in First Aid requirements that will qualify them for certification.

### Thursday, June 23, 2011

### Elementary School Secretaries

- The final of three workshops designed to help this group to accurately report student information required by the Ministry of Education under Onsis guidelines.

### Elementary Educational Assistant s(EA)

The second of four half-day sessions to train this group of support staff in First Aid requirements that will qualify them for certification.

### Friday, June 24, 2011

### Elementary Educational Assistants(EA)

- The third of four half-day sessions to train this group of support staff in First Aid requirements that will qualify them for certification.

### Monday, June 27, 2011

### Elementary Educational Assistants (EA)

- The final of four half-day sessions to train this group of support staff in First Aid requirements that will qualify them for certification.

### Secondary Educational Assistants (EA)

- The first of four half-day sessions to train this group of support staff in First Aid requirements that will qualify them for certification.

### Tuesday, June 28, 2011

### Secondary Educational Assistants (EA)

- The second of four half-day sessions to train this group of support staff in First Aid requirements that will qualify them for certification.

### Wednesday, June 29, 2011

Secondary Educational Assistants (EA)

- The third and fourth of four half-day sessions to train this group of support staff in First Aid requirements that will qualify them for certification.

Accompanying this report will be a brief power point presentation depicting a summary of professional development offerings throughout the 201-2011 school year.

### The Staff Development Update 2010-2011 is presented for information.

Prepared By:	Frank Iannantuono, Superintendent of Education Khayyam Syne, Administrator of Staff Development
Presented By:	Frank Iannantuono, Superintendent of Education Khayyam Syne, Administrator of Staff Development
Approved By:	John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7, 2011

### TO: NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

**TOPIC: REPORT ON THE ANNUAL BUDGET 2011-2012** 

### RECOMMENDATION

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole recommend that the Niagara Catholic District School Board approve the report on the Annual Budget 2011-2012, as presented.

Prepared by Senior Administrative Council

Presented by: Larry Reich, Superintendent of Business & Financial Services Senior Administrative Council

Recommended by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7, 2011



### REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING JUNE 7, 2011

### **REPORT ON THE ANNUAL BUDGET 2011-2012**

### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

On March 31, 2011, the Ministry of Education, announced the funding allocations for the school year 2011-2012. The announcement indicated that school boards would receive an increase of \$770 Million, which represents an increase of approximately 3.8%, over last year.

The 2011-2012 Grants for Student Needs (GSN) include the funding required to support the staffing provisions and the 3% increase in compensation for all staffing categories under the Provincial Framework Agreements (PFA), as well as other funding enhancements to meet cost increases in Employee Benefits, Plant Operations, Student Transportation and Special Education.

In order to deal with the current Provincial Financial Deficit, the Ontario Government has found it necessary to impose constraint measures on all school boards in the funding envelopes for board administration, textbooks and learning materials, computers, professional development and transportation.

It is important to note that average daily enrolment is projected to decrease by approximately 356 students during the 2011-2012 school year. This decrease will result in a reduction of funding, which must be partially offset by a proportional reduction of variable expenditures.

The Senior Administration Council has now completed the review of the 2011-2012 Budget requests submitted by staff, including the deployment of staff and the allocation of resources to schools and departments of the Board.

After numerous meetings and extensive deliberations, the Senior Administration Council is pleased to present a proposed 2011-2012 Annual Budget amounting to a total Operating Expenditures Budget of \$247,841,376 and a total Minor Tangible Capital Assets Budget of \$2,920,321.

For the review of the Trustees, we enclose the following information:

### APPENDIX A

Summary of Revenue & Expenditures & Key Budget Factors **APPENDIX B** Analysis of Funding Allocations **APPENDIX C** Analysis of Expenditures by Department We take this opportunity to thank all the members of Board staff, who have been involved in the preparation of the 2011-2012 Annual Budget.

### RECOMMENDATION

**THAT** the Committee of the Whole recommend that the Niagara Catholic District School Board approve the report on the Annual Budget 2011-2012, as presented.

Prepared by:	Senior Administration Council
Presented by:	Larry Reich, Superintendent of Business & Financial Services Senior Administration Council
Recommended by:	John Crocco, Director of Education, Secretary/Treasurer
Date:	June 7, 2011





### **ANNUAL BUDGET**

### Summary of Revenue & Expenditures and Key Budget Factors

### Total Budget Revenue & Expenditures for Compliance Purposes

ise Comments		0.		8	£2 ∥
Increase (Decrease)		5,502,870	'	199,978	7,701,703
Revised Budget 2010-11		227,099,630	13,040,043	1,998,855	240,139,673
Аллиаł Budget 2011-12		232,602,501	13,040,043	2,198,833	247,841,376
	Budget Revenue	Total Operating Funding & Other Rev.	Total Capital Funding & Other Rev.	Amount Required to Balance	Total Budget Revenue

### **Budget Expenditures**

6,796,151	905,552	7	7,701,703	(0)
226,697,908	13,441,765	0	240,139,673	0
233,494,059	14,347,317	0	247,841,376	0
Total Operating Expenditures	Total Capital & Other Expenditures	Amount Required to Balance	Total Budget Expenditures	Total Budget Surplus (Deficit)

Page 1

Page 2

1<sup>211</sup>

### NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET

# **Projected Student Enrolment**

Comments	The ADE enrolment is projected to increase	The ADE enrolment is projected to decrease		The ADE enrolment is projected to increase The ADE enrolment is projected to increase The ADE enrolment is projected to decrease	
Increase (Decrease)	48	(404)	(356)	48 24 (18)	5
Revised Budget 2010-11	14,008	8,264	22,272	585 99 505	1,189
Annual Budget 2011-12	14,056	7,860	21,916	633 123 487	1,243
	Elementary Enrolment - ADE	Secondary Enrolment - ADE	Total Board Enrolment - ADE	Adult & Continuing Education - ADE Summer School Enrolment - ADE Adult Non-Credit ESL Programs - ADE	Total Adult & Cont. Ed. Enrolment - ADE

Average Daily Enrolment (ADE) continues to be a major factor in the calculation of the Funding Allocations for all school boards.

Under the Regulations, the calculation of Average Daily Enrolment for the school year is to be based on the number of students enrolled in our schools on two specific dates: OCTOBER 31 and MARCH 31. - The number of students enrolled on those dates is to be weighted at 50% for each date. - The number of students enrolled in JK & SK is to be counted as half-time.

ADE for Adult & Continuing Education programs is to be calculated using the same methods and parameters, as outlined in the regulations, as in prior years.

Ar

Page 3 

### NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET

### **Projected School Staffing**

Comments	Decrease is mainly due to changes in enrolment and moorams mandated by the Ministry	Decrease is mainly due to changes in enrolment and programs mandated by the Ministry		
Increase (Decrease)	(11)	(11)	(22)	
Revised Budget 2010-11	617	543	1,460	
Annual Budget 2011-12	906	532	1,438	
	Total Elementary School Teachers	Total Secondary School Teachers	Total Board School Teachers	

23.5:1	
23.5:1	
Average Class Size - Elementary	

Under the Regulations,

- The Maximum Average Class Size for JK, SK and Grades 1, 2 & 3 is 20: 1. and the Maximum Average Class Size for Grades 4 to 8 is expected to decrease to 24.5:1 by the year 2011-12.

22.0 : 1		
22.0:1		
Average Class Size - Secondary	1	the day the Bossilations

Under the Regulations, - Under the Regulations, the Maximum Average Class Size for all Secondary School Grades is 21 : 1.

However, school boards may adjust the Maximum Average Class Size up to 22 : 1 - The Board has approved a special motion to increase the Maximum Average Class Size up to 22: 1.

A3







### Analysis of Funding Allocations

### Analysis of Funding Allocations & Budget Restrictions Budget Revenue

Comments on Major Changes			For details relating to changes in funding allocations, see page 4		Increase is mainly due to an increase in EPO Grants	Decrease is mainly due to changes in miscellancous revenue		Increase is mainly due to an increase in unfunded expenses			
Increase (Decrease)	1,170,797	6,160,999	7,331,796	r	200,000	(30,071)	ı	199,978			7,701,703
Revised Budget 2010-11	46,693,688	183,684,260	230,377,948	3,429,128	3,185,071	438,941	709,730	1,998,855	0		240,139,673
Annual Budget 2011-12	47,864,485	189,845,260	237,709,745	3,429,128	3,385,071	408,870	709,730	2,198,833	0		247,841,376
	Education Taxes	Education Grants	Total Funding Allocations	Adult & Cont. Education Other Revenue	Other Operating Grants (EPO)	Other Miscellaneous Revenue	Tuition Fees - Elementary & Secondary	Transfer from the Reserve Funds	Other Revenue		Total Budget Revenue

Page 2		se ie) Comments on Major Changes	3 Increase is mainly due to salary increases due to Experience & Contracts and to additional staff required for educational programs.	Increase is main!	0 Increase is mainly due to additional funding for professional development	7) Decrease is mainly due to changes in the purchase of supplies & services	6 Increase is mainly due to the additional purchase of equipment	7) Decrease is mainly due to changes in the upgrading of school facilities	0 Increase is mainly due to the additional capital projects	9 Increase is mainly due to the additional debentures on capital projects	0) Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the lease of portable classrooms	4) Decrease is mainly due to changes in transportation contracts & other fees	0	<b>n</b>
		Increase (Decrease)	6,592,353	710,313	102,220	(231,837)	12,546	(107,667)	874,170	139,049	(50,000)	(344,444)	5,000	7,701,703
		Revised Budget 2010-11	171,943,809	24,207,485	574,754	16,877,034	481,155	841,417	8,126,011	4,356,850	500,000	12,043,672	187,487	240,139,673
NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET	Analysis of Funding Allocations & Budget Restrictions Budget Expenditures	Annual Budget 2011-12	178,536,162	24,917,797	676,974	16,645,197	493,701	733,750	9,000,181	4,495,899	450,000	11,699,228	192,487	 247,841,376
NIAGARA 20	Analysis of		Salary & Wages	Ēmployee Benefīts	Professional Development	Supplies & Services	Furniture & Equipment	Facilty Renewal	Depreciation & Amortization	Interest on Capital Debt	Rentals & Leases	Fees & Contracts	Other Expenditures	Total Budget Expenditures

 $B_{\nu}$ 

Page 2

Page 3

f

NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET

### Analysis of Funding Allocations & Budget Restrictions Education Taxes

Comments on Major Changes					No significant change in this area
Increase (Decrease)	2,245 45,804 (60,324) (12,275)	3,700 75,480 (98,423) (19,243)	778,413	(424,685)	1,170,797
Revised Budget 2010-11	6,257,412 11,674,449 82,064 <b>18,013,924</b>	10,311,556 19,238,262 133,894 29,683,712	574,795	1,286,170	46,693,688
Annual Budget 2011-12	6,259,657 11,720,252 21,740 18,001,650	10,315,256 19,313,742 35,471 29,664,469	1,353,208	861,485	47,864,485
	Subtotal	Subtotal			
	Share of Taxes for September to December 38% of Residential & Farm Taxes 38% of Industrial & Commercial Taxes 38% of Payments In Lieu Of Taxes	Share of Taxes for January to August 62% of Residential & Farm Taxes 62% of Industrial & Commercial Taxes 62% of Payments In Lieu Of Taxes	Add: Estimated Supplementary Taxes	Less: Estimated Tax Write-Offs	Total Education Taxes for the School Year

•	÷.	:	Page 4

### Analysis of Funding Allocations & Budget Restrictions Education Grants - Funding Allocations

	e Comments on Major Changes		Increase is mainly due to changes in enrolment and funding rates	Increase is mainly due to changes in enrolment and funding rates			increase is mainly due to changes in enrolment and runding rates	Increase is mainly due to changes in enroiment and runding rates			Increase is mainly due to changes in funding allocations	_	_		_	Increase is mainly due to changes in enrolment			Increase is mainly due to changes in enrolment and funding rates													
	Increase (Decrease)		1,982,883	386,604	2,369,487		2,416,549	115,081	· · ·	7,199	312,964	2,451,576	(130,099)	(42,557)	(41,549)	223,937	I	(10,033)	9,709	ì	5,312,777	7,682,264		(1,132,968)	6,549,296	(107,667)	ı	890,167	I	782,500.0	7,331,796	
Revised	Budget 2010-11		113,282,434	16,222,042	129,504,476		24,859,929	3,640,608 ô	0	3,415,507	2,291,417	14,967,458	10,809,313	6,163,730	21,472,772	973,247	579,000	251,905	391,970	117,487	89,934,343	219.438.818		(1,588,323)	217,850,495	841,417	4,356,850	7,329,186	0	12,527,453	230,377,948	
Annial	Budget 2011-12		115,265,316	16,608,647	131,873,963		27,276,478	3,755,689	0	3,422,706	2,604,381	17,419,035	10,679,214	6,121,173	21,431,223	1,197,184	579,000	241,872	401,678	117,487	95,247,120	227.121.083		(2,721,291)	224,399,792	733.750	4.356.850	8,219,353	0	13,309,953	237.709.745	•
		c	Foundation Grants Punil Foundation Grants	School Foundation Grants	Subtotal - Foundation Grants	Special Purpose Grants	Special Education	Language - ESL & FSL	Geographic & Small Schools	Learning Opportunities	Adult Education	Teacher Commensation	Transnortation	Roard Administration	School Onerations	Derlinine Entolment	Drowman Enhancement	Free Nation Sumplemental	Safe Schools	Non Onerating Expenditures	Subtotal - Special Purpose Grants	Subtatal Total Onarofing Grants	Subjutat - Evial Opticature State	Less: Minor TCA & Other Adjustments		Capital Grants c.t.o.f Ecolities Densited	Scriou Facultues Notewar Internet on Conital Daht	Interest on Capital Peot Depreciation & Amortization	Other Canital Expenditures	Subtotal - Capital Grants	Total Funding Allocations	

Analysis of Funding Allocations & Budget Restrictions Funding Lines - Net Revenue & Net Expenditures

Funding Lines - Net Revenue & Net Expenditures	Expenditures			A active of	TO THE THE ACTO	
	ANNUAL	ANNUAL BUDGET 2011-12		KEVISED	KEVISED BUDGET 2010-11	
	Net Revenue	Net Expenditures	Variance	Net Revenue	Net Expenditures	Variance
	2011-12	2011-12	2011-12	2010-11	2010-11	2010-11
Classroom	128.154.603	128,954,244	(799,641)	123,479,285	124,899,568	(1,420,283)
Classroom Leachers	2,699,342	4,163,072	(1,463,730)	2,623,064	3,924,481	(1,301,417)
Occasional Accistants & Early Childhood Educators	16,240,682	17,171,914	(931,232)	14,371,059	16,017,157	(1,646,098)
Eutrempiar Assistants & Early Commerce Section Texthonlys I seminor Materials & Equip.	5,900,966	6,730,146	(829,180)	5,669,475	6,381,509	(712,034)
Textuodos, communes mananes a representation (Tassenonn Commuters	1,069,567	788,981	280,586	1,023,570	788,484	235,086
Professionals & Parantofessionals	6,609,656	5,280,692	1,328,964	6,360,110	4,720,194	1,639,916
1 Interstotians & antiprocession	4,829,127	4,723,485	105,642	4,709,589	4,579,376	130,213
Staff Development	538,663	237,935	300,727	340,516	238,696	101,820
Program Chaire	371,461	373,630	(2,169)	374,159	363,892	10,267
Adjustment for Minor Tangible Capital Assets	(2,721,291)	0	(2,721,291)	(1,588,323)	I	(1,588,323)
	163,692,776	168,424,100	(4,731,324)	157,362,504	161,913,356	(4,550,852)
Non-Classroom						
Principals, Vice-Principals	10,831,503	10,318,813	169710	1/5/00/01	201,020,01	4/4,202
School Office Administration	6,075,997	4,843,384	1,232,613	007,500,00	4,840,100	1,005,200,1
Coordinators & Consultants	1,636,769	1,692,907	(56,138)	1,661,185	1,543,773	117,412
Board Administration	6,261,198	7,333,014	(1,071,816)	6,279,139	7,303,757	(1,024,618)
Ownerstions & Maintenance - Schools	21,851,297	20,265,927	1,585,370	21,974,107	20,772,538	1,201,569
Continuing Education Summer School	3,024,093	2,531,910	492,183	2,748,689	2,277,332	471,357
Continuing Economy cummer concer-	10,709,622	10,151,206	558,416	10,841,454	10,371,088	470,366
	60,390,479	57,137,161	3,253,319	59,975,401	57,201,753	2,773,648
	224,083,256	225,561,260	(1,478,005)	217,337,905	219,115,109	(1,777,204)
Capital & Other	737 750	733.750		841.417	841,417	
School Facilities Kenewal	4.555.899	4,495,899	60,000	4,751,953	4,176,779	575,174
Interest of Capital Acou Depreciation & Amortization	8,219,353	9,000,181	(780,828)	7,329,186	8,126,011	(796,825)
Non-Operating Expenditures	117,487	117,487	1	11/46/	10+6111	
	13,626,489	14,347,317	(720,828)	13,040,043	13,261,694	(221,651)
(0) Total	237,709,745	239,908,577	(2,198,833)	230,377,948	232,376,803	(1,998,855)
L V(#1						

Page 5

₿≤

Analysis of Funding Allocations & Budget Restrictions Budget Restrictions on Special Education Funding Envelope

Budget    Budget      2011-12    2010-11      5,585,622    4,724,894      5,808,632    4,994,456      3,735,386    3,374,324      3,98,287    300,000	-11 22
	<b>=</b>   }
1	
	894
r.	456
	324
	8
216,799 115,987	987
	103
2,463,116 2,659,057	057
	000
315,107 183,705	705
86,237 76,000	8
144,980 139,838	38
3,232 -	
	1
27,276,478 24,805,364	64
	i
7,	Ľ
	75
15,401,445 14,158,682	82
560,000 560,000	8
88 3,481,489	89
ć	88
	8 I
27,775,442 26,114,137	37
(483,775) (458,902)	[ [2]
27,291,667 25,655,235	35
	:
(15,189) (849,87	<u>ا</u> ۲
7,276,478 7,820,666 112,263 55401,445 560,000 3,570,188 3,570,188 10,000 300,800 300,800 300,800 (483,775) (483,775) (15,189)	26 3 3 14 7 24

**Comments on Major Changes** 

.

The Board is in compliance with the regulations because it spends more than the funding allocation

B6

Page 6

(

Page 7

### NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET

# Analysis of Funding Allocations & Budget Restrictions Budget Restrictions on Board Administration Funding Envelope

Revised Budget 2010-11		6,279,139 0 6,279,139	7,428,757 0 (125,000) 7,303,757
Annual Annual Budget Budget 2011-12		6,326,119 0 6,326,119	7,558,014 0 (225,000) 7,333,014
Budget Kestrictions on Doard Auministization Funding Annual Budget 2011-12	Board Administration Funding Allocation	Total Funding Allocation for Board Administration Add: Additional Funding Allocations Less: Other Adjustments	Board Administration Expenditures Net Expenditures relating to Board Administration Add: Net strike savings attributable to Administration Less: Transfer from Reserve Funds Less: Other Revenue Attributable to Administration Less: Other Adjustments

**Comments on Major Changes** 

B7

to reduce the overexpenditure within two years.

The Board is not in compliance and may have to submit a plan

(1,024,618)

(1,006,895)

a plan to reduce the expenditures within two years.

Unspent (Overspent) Board Admin. Funding Note: If the Funding Allocation is overspent, then the Board may have to submit





2 · ··· 1 · · · · ·

### ANNUAL BUDGET

### Analysis of Expenditures by Department

	Budget	Budget	Increase (Decrease)	Comments on Major CI
Operating Expenditures Roord Administration	7.558,014	7,428,758	129,256	See comments on page 2
Elementary Schools	120,346,226	115,298,069	5,048,157	See comments on page 3
Secondary Schools Adult & Continuing Education	68,787,707 5,961,037	5,706,459	1,940,002 254,578	See comments on page 5
Plant Operations	18,050,476	18,320,647	(270,171)	See comments on page 6
Plant Maintenance Student Transportation	2,639,393 10,151,206	2,725,833 $10,371,088$	(86,440) (219,882)	See comments on page / See comments on page 8
	233,494,059	226,697,908	6,796,151	
Capital & Other Expenditures Eaclity Renewal	733,750	841,417	(107,667)	See comments on page 10
Interest on Capital Debt	4,495,899	4,356,850	139,049	See comments on page 1
Depreciation & Amortization	9,000,181	8,126,011	874,170 ^	See comments on page 1
Non-Operating Expenditures	117,487	117,487	0	See comments on page 1.
	14,347,317	13,441,765	905,552	
	747 841 376	240.139.673	7.701.703	
1 otal board Operating Expendences				
Total Minor Tangible Capital Assets	2,920,321	1,983,426	936,895	
			STATES STATES AND	

Changes

Page 1

Ĺ

Analysis of Expenditures by Department Total Board Expenditures

Revised

Annual

NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET

C,

Grand Total Expenses (Above) 250,761,697 Grand Total Expenses (A:h48) 250,761,697

NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET	STRICT SCH( AL BUDGET	JOL BOARI		Page 2
Analysis of Expenditures by Department Board Administration Expenditures	tres by Departn ion Expenditur	nent es		
	Annual Budget 2011-12	Revised Budget 2010-11	Increase (Decrease)	Comments on Major Changes
Salary & Wages	4,836,110	4,621,982	214,128	Increase is mainly due to changes in staff deployment and contracts and to additional removary staff required by departments
Empioyee Benefits	941,904	886,776	55,128	Increase is mainly due to changes in benefit rates & staff deployment
Professional Development	000'06	90,000	0	
Supplies & Services General Administration	127,500	127,500	0	
Business Administration	867,500	917,500	(50,000)	Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the purchase of office supplies
Program Administration	0	0	0	
Computers	0	0	0	
Furniture & Equipment	10,000	. 10,000	0	
Fees & Contracts	685,000	775,000	(000'06)	Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in computer fees $\&$ contracts
Total Administration Expenditures	7,558,014	7,428,758	129,256	
Total Administration Capital Exp	25,000	<b>50,000</b>	(000)	

 $C_{\nu}$ 

Ĺ

OL BOARD	nt	Revised Budget Increase 2010-11 (Decrease) Comments on Major Changes	97,299,183 4,691,367 Increase is mainly due to changes in staff deployment and contracts	13,135,288 433,384 Increase is mainly due to changes in benefit rates & staff deployment	330,000 100,000 Increase is mainly due to additional funding for professional development	1,485,621 4,575 Increase is mainly due to the additional purchase of learning materials	1,494,540 (139,540) Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the purchase of learning materials	615,000 (10,000) Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the purchase of learning materials	642,276 (162,254) Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the purchase of computers	292,264 134,524 Increase is mainly due to the additional purchase of equipment	0 0	115,294,171 5,052,055	2708125 1.568,843 11119282
ISTRICT SCHOOI	ures by Departmen	Annual Budget 2011-12	101,990,549 97,	13,568,671 13,	430,000	1,490,196 1,	1,355,000 1,4	605,000	480,022	426,788	0	0,346,226	2,708,1255
NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET	Analysis of Expenditures by Department Elementary School Expenditures		Salary & Wages	Employee Benefits	Professional Development	Supplies & Services School Instruction Budgets	Central Instruction Budgets	Central Other Budgets	Computers	Furniture & Equipment	Fees & Contracts	<b>Fotal Elementary School Expenditures</b>	Total Elementary School Capital Exp.

Cy

NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET	STRICT SCH ML BUDGET	OOL BOARI		Page 5
Analysis of Expenditures by Department Adult & Continuing Education Expenditures	res by Departı ucation Expen	nent ditures		
	Annual Budget 2011-12	Revised Budget 2010-11	Increase (Decrease)	Comments on Major Changes
Salary & Wages	4,289,487	4,187,559	101,928	Increase is mainly due to changes in staff deployment and contracts
Employee Benefits	649,269	575,865	73,404	Increase is mainly due to changes in benefit rates $\&$ staff deployment
Professional Development	17,000	14,700	2,300	Increase is mainly due to additional funding for professional development
Supplies & Services School Instruction Budgets	968,281	900,635	67,646	Increase is mainly due to the additional purchase of learning materials
Central Instruction Budgets	0	0	0	
Central Other Budgets	0	0	0	
Computers	34,000	20,000	14,000	Increase is mainly due to the additional purchase of computers
Furmiture & Equipment	0	0	0	
Fees & Contracts	3,000	7,700	(4,700)	
Total Adult & Cont. Ed. Expenses =	5,961,037	5,706,459	254,578	
Potal Adult & Conta Ed. Capitulized			0	

 $C_{f}$ 

\*

ations Expenditures 18,050,476 18,320,647 (270,17	Fees & Contracts 560,000 560,000 0	Furniture & Equipment 412,000 467,000 (55,000) Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the purchase of equipment	Computers 1,500 1,500 0	Sites & Grounds Maintenance 1,000,000 1,045,000 (45,000) Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the cost of ground maintenance	Cleaning & Operating 2.00 1,012,500 1,047,500 65,000 Increase is mainly due to an increase in the cost of cleaning materials	Supplies & Services Utilities (350,000) (350,000) (350,000) (350,000) Decrease is mainly due to a reduction the cost of utilities	Professional Development 10,000 10,000 0	Employee Benefits 2,299,117 2,307,771 (8,654) Decrease is mainly due to changes in benefit rates & staff deployment	Salary & Wages Wages	Annual Revised Budget Increase 2011-12 2010-11 (Decrease) Comments on Major Changes	Analysis of Expenditures by Department Plant Operations Expenditures
Analysis of Expenditures by Department    Plant Operations Expenditures    Annual  Revised    Annual  Revised    Annual  Revised    Annual  Revised    Budget  Budget  Budget  Increase    2011-12  2011-11  Querease    2011-12  2010-11  Querease    Ages  8,655,359  8,531,876  123,483    Benefits  2,299,117  2,307,771  (8,654)    Benefits  2,299,117  2,307,771  (8,654)    Benefits  2,299,117  2,307,771  (8,654)    Benefits  2,299,117  2,307,771  (8,654)    Benefits  2,300,000  10,000  0  0    Services  4,000,000  4,350,000  65,000  0    g& Operating  1,112,500  1,047,500  65,000  0    g& Operating  1,112,500  1,047,500  (45,000)  0    f Equipment  412,000  1,047,000  65,000  0	Analysis of Expenditures by Department Plant Operations Expenditures      Annual    Revised Budget    Increase Budget      Budget    Budget    Increase      2011-12    2010-11    (0.654)      Annual    Revised    Increase      Sudget    Budget    Budget      Budget    Budget    123,483      Annual    Revised    10,000      Increase    2,299,117    2,307,771      Benefits    2,307,771    (8,654)      Benefits    10,000    (1,040,600      Benefits    1,112,500    1,047,500      Benefits    1,047,600    (45,000)	Analysis of Expenditures by Department Plant Operations ExpendituresAnnualRevised BudgetAnnualRevised BudgetBudgetBudgetIncreaseBudgetBudgetBudgetIncrease2011.1.22010.112010.11(Decrease)Ages $8,655,359$ $8,531,876$ $123,483$ Ages $8,655,359$ $8,531,876$ $123,483$ Benefits $2,299,117$ $2,307,771$ $(8,654)$ Benefits $2,299,117$ $2,307,771$ $(8,654)$ Benefits $1,0,000$ $10,000$ $0,000$ I Development $10,000$ $4,350,000$ $0,650,000$ Services $4,000,000$ $4,350,000$ $(350,000)$ $g$ & Operating $1,112,500$ $1,047,500$ $(45,000)$ Grounds Maintenance $1,000,000$ $1,045,000$ $(45,000)$ $1,500$ $1,500$ $1,500$ $0,000$	Analysis of Expenditures by Department    Plant Operations Expenditures    Annual  Revised    Budget  Budget  Budget  Increase    2011-12  2010-11  (Decrease)	Analysis of Expenditures by Department    Plant Operations Expenditures    Annual  Revised    Budget  Budget  Increase    Budget  Budget  Budget    Budget  Budget  Budget    Budget  Budget  Increase    2011-12  2010-11  (Decrease)    St555359  8,531,876  123,483    St555359  8,531,876  123,483    Increase  2,299,117  2,307,771  (8,654)    Increase  10,000  10,000  0  0    Increase  11,12,500  1,047,500  65,000	Analysis of Expenditures by Department    Plant Operations Expenditures    Annual  Revised    Budget  Budget    Budget  Budget    Budget  Budget    Stant  2011-12    2011-12  2010-11    Budget  Budget    Budget  Budget    Budget  Budget    Budget  100-011    (Becrease)  10,000    Incent  10,000    Annual  4,350,000    Annual  4,350,000	type of Expenditures by Department    'lant Operations Expenditures    Annual  Revised    Annual  Revised    Budget  Budget    Budget  Budget<	Analysis of Expenditures by DepartmentPlant Operations ExpendituresAnnualRevisedAnnualRevisedBudgetBudget1011-122010-112011-122010-112011-122010-112011-122010-112011-122010-112011-122010-112011-122010-118,655,3598,531,8762,299,1172,307,7718,6542,307,771	Analysis of Expenditures by Department    Plant Operations Expenditures    Annual  Revised    Budget  Budget  Increase    2011-12  2010-11  (Decrease)     8,655,359  8,531,876  123,483	tevised Budget Increase 010-11 (Decrease)	Analysis of Expenditures by Department Plant Operations Expenditures	
RA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BUDGET    2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET    Juli-12 ANNUAL BUDGET    Inalysis of Expenditures by Department    Plant Operations Expenditures  Revised    Budget  Budget  Increase    Subdget  Budget  Increase    Budget  Budget  Increase    2011-12  2010-11  (8,654)    2011-12  2010-11  (8,654)    Budget  Budget  Increase    Budget  Budget  Increase    Budget  10,000  (8,654)    Budget  1,000,000  (8,654)    Budget  1,047,500  (8,654)    Budget  1,000,000  (1,047,500  (45,000)    Budget  1,047,500  (45,000)  0    Budget  1,045,000  (45,000)  0    Budget  1,045,000  (55,000)  0    Budget  1,045,000  (45,000)  0    Budget  1,045,000  (55,000)  0    Budget  1,045,000  (55,000)  0	RA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BUDGET    2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET    Inalysis of Expenditures by Department    Plant Operations Expenditures  Revised  Increase    Budget  Budget  Budget  Increase    Budget  Budget  Budget  Increase    2011-12  2010-11  (6.54)    S,655,359  8,531,876  123,483    ent  10,000  4,307,771  (8,654)    ent  10,000  10,000  0    ent  10,000  4,350,000  65,000    intenance  1,000,000  1,047,500  65,000    intenance  1,000,000  1,047,500  (45,000)    intenance  1,000,000  1,045,000  0    412,000  467,000  (55,000)  0	SARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGETAnalysis of Expenditures by DepartmentPlant Operations ExpendituresRevisedBudgetBudgetIncrease2011-122010-11(Decrease)2011-122010-11(Decrease)annent10,00010,0000ating1,112,50010,00065,000ating1,112,5001,047,50065,000Maintenance1,000,0001,047,500(45,000)Maintenance1,000,0001,045,000(45,000)Maintenance1,000,0001,500(45,000)Naintenance1,000,0001,500(45,000)Maintenance1,000,0001,500(45,000)Analysis1,5001,5000Analysis1,5001,5000	GRA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGETAnalysis of Expenditures by DepartmentAnalysis of ExpendituresAnnualRevisedBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetS,655,3598,531,876123,483oment10,00010,0000oment10,00010,000350,000ating1,112,5001,047,50065,000Maintenance1,000,0001,045,000(45,000)	SARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGETAnalysis of Expenditures by DepartmentAnnualRevisedBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudgetBudget2011-122010-112011-122010-11AnnualRevised8,655,3598,531,87612,299,1172,307,771Annual10,000Annual10,0004,000,0004,350,000Ating1,112,500Ating1,047,500Ating1,047,500Ating1,047,500Ating1,047,500Ating1,047,500Ating1,047,500Ating1,047,500Ating1,047,500Ating1,047,500Ating1,047,500Ating1,047,500Ating1,047,500Ating1,047,500	SARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET Analysis of Expenditures by Department Plant Operations Expenditures 2011-12 2010-11 (Decrease) 2011-12 2010-11 (Decrease) 2011-12 2010-11 (Becrease) 2011-12 2,209,117 (8,654) 2,209,117 2,307,771 (8,654) 2,209,117 2,307,771 (8,654) 2,209,117 2,307,771 (8,654) 2,209,117 2,307,771 (8,654) 2,209,000 10,000 (350,000)	A CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET lysis of Expenditures by Department lant Operations Expenditures annual Revised Budget Budget Increase 2011-12 2010-11 (Decrease) 2011-12 2010-11 (Decrease) 2011-12 2010-11 (0ecrease) 2011-12 2010-11 (0ecrease) 2,299,117 2,307,771 (8,654) 10,000 10,000 0	GARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET Analysis of Expenditures by Department Plant Operations Expenditures Annual Revised Budget Budget Increase 2011-12 2010-11 (Decrease) 2011-12 2010-11 (Decrease) 8,655,359 8,531,876 123,483 2,299,117 2,307,771 (8,654)	IAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET Analysis of Expenditures by Department Plant Operations Expenditures Annual Revised Budget Budget Increase 2011-12 2010-11 (Decrease) 	Page Increase (Decrease) Comments on Major Changes	Page	Page

6

.

NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET	ISTRICT SCH	<b>DOL BOARI</b>	0	Page 7
Analysis of Expenditures by Department Plant Maintenance Expenditures	tures by Departr :e Expenditures	nent		
	Annual Budget 2011-12	Revised Budget 2010-11	Increase (Decrease)	Comments on Major Changes
Salary & Wages	1,186,110	1,245,929	(59,819)	Decrease is mainly due to changes in staff deployment $\&$ contracts
Employce Benefits	295,283	291,904	3,379	Increase is mainly due to changes in benefit rates $\&$ staff deployment
Professional Development	7,500	7,500	0	
Supplies & Services Department Operation	159,000	169,000	(10,000)	Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the cost of operating supplies
Mechanical & Electrical	475,000	495,000	(20,000)	Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the cost of maintenance
Building Maintenance	405,000	410,000	(5,000)	Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the cost of building supplies
Computers	1,500	1,500	0	
Furniture & Equipment	35,000	30,000	5,000	Increase is mainly due to the additional purchase of maintenance vehicles
Fees & Contracts	75,000	75,000	0	
Total Plant Maintenance Expenditures	2,639,393	2,725,833	(86,440)	
Total Plant Maintenance Capitalized			0	

C<sub>1</sub>

Page 7

Ç. -

NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET	STRICT SCH ML BUDGET	OOL BOARI	0	Page 8
Analysis of Expenditures by Department Student Transportation Expenditures	res by Depart ion Expenditu	ment res		
	Annual Budget 2011-12	Revised Budget 2010-11	Increase (Decrease)	Comments on Major Changes
Salary & Wages	281,594	279,116	2,478	Increase is mainly due to salary changes in contract rates
Employee Benefits	43,402	41,258	2,144	Increase is mainly due to changes in benefit rates & staff deployment
Professional Development	2,474	2,554	(80)	
Supplies & Services	87,589	67,269	20,320	Increase is mainly due to an increase in the cost of office supplies
Computers	0	0	0	
Furniture & Equipment	0	0	0	
Fees & Contracts Bus Transportation	8,824,885	8,835,326	(10,441)	Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the cost of operating contracts
Taxi & Minivan	153,184	237,487	(84,303)	Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the cost of operating contracts
Other Transportation	758,078	908,078	(150,000)	Decrease is mainly due to a reduction in the cost of operating contracts
Total Student Transportation Exp.	10,151,206	10,371,088	(219,882)	

 $C_8$ 

Total Student Transportation Gapitalized 74,196 91,753

ĺ

. .

Page 9			
		inges	his envelope
		1 Major Cha	changes in t
		Comments on Major Changes	No significant changes in this envelope
RD		D	
OOL BOA	nent res	Revised Budget 2010-11	841,417
RICT SCH BUDGET	by Departı Expenditu	Annual Budget 2011-12	733,750
CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCF 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET	penditures es Renewal		
NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET	Analysis of Expenditures by Department School Facilities Renewal Expenditures		al Expenses
NIAGAR	Anî Sch		ities Renew:
			School Facilities Renewal Expenses

Cq

NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD	2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET
NIAGARA CATH	2011-12

# Analysis of Expenditures by Department Interest on Capital Debt

Com	(Decrease)	2010-11	2011-12
	Increase	Budget	Budget
		Revised	Annual

iments on Major Changes

Interest on Long Term Debentures	4,495,899	4,356,850	139,049
Interest on ShortTerm Capital Loans	0	0	0

•

Increase is mainly due to additional debentures on capital projects

4,495,899

Total Interest on Capital Debt

139,049 4,356,850

Page 10

1

 $C_{10}$ 

-----

Page 11

2<sup>1111</sup>1

# NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET

### Analysis of Expenditures by Department Annual Depreciation Expenditure

Increase (Decrease)	0	0	0	0	0	0	874,170
Revised Budget 2010-11	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,126,011
Annual Budget 2011-12	0	land Improvements 0	0 52	Portable Structures 0	Construction in Progress	Moveable Type Assets	New Pupil Places 9,000,181
	l and	l and	Buildings	Portab	Consti	Move	New F

**Comments on Major Changes** 

Total Annual Depreciation Exp.

9,000,181 8,126,011

26,011 874,170 h

Increase is mainly due to additional capital projects

С<sub>11</sub>

. . . . . . .

Page 12					
		Comments on Major Changes		No significant changes in this envelope	
-		Increase (Decrease)	O	0	
OOL BOARI	ment	Revised Budget 2010-11	117,487	117,487	
CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD 2011-12 ANNUAL BUDGET	itures by Departi xpenditures	Annual Budget 2011-12	117,487	117,487	
NIAGARA CATHOLIC I 2011-12 ANNU	Analysis of Expenditures by Department Non-Operating Expenditures		Other Non-Operating Expenditures	Total Non-Operating Exp.	

CIN

Analysis of Expenditures by Department Provision for Contingencies

	Increase	(Decrease)	
Revised	Budget	2010-11	
Annual	Budget	2011-12	

**Comments on Major Changes** 

0 Provision for Contingencies for this year

o

0

0 ł 0 **Total Provision for Contingencies** 

0

C13

Page 13

ŕ

### TO: NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

**TOPIC: CAPITAL PROJECT UPDATE** 

The Capital Project Update is presented for information.

Prepared by: James Woods, Controller of Plant

Presented by: James Woods, Controller of Plant

Approved by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7, 2011


# REPORT TO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

# CAPITAL PROJECTS PROGRESS REPORT

### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Individual progress reports for capital projects are presented as follows:

### In Progress

Appendix A	Blessed Trinity Catholic Secondary School (Gr.)
Appendix B	Our Lady of Fatima Catholic Elementary School (Gr.)
Appendix C	Our Lady of Victory Catholic Elementary School (FE)
Appendix D	St. Andrew Catholic Elementary School (W)
Appendix E	St. Anthony Catholic Elementary School (SC)
Appendix F	St. Augustine Catholic Elementary School (W)
Appendix G	St. Joseph Catholic Elementary School (Gr.)
Appendix H	St. Mark Catholic Elementary School (B)

The Capital Projects Progress Report is presented for information.

Prepared by:	James Woods, Controller of Plant
Presented by:	James Woods, Controller of Plant
Approved by:	John Crocco, Director of Education
Date:	June 7, 2011



**APPENDIX A** 

## BLESSED TRINITY CATHOLIC SECONDARY SCHOOL, GRIMSBY

Scope of Project: Design and construction of a Twenty-three classroom addition to the existing Facility under the Energy Efficient Funding program

<u>**Current Status:</u>** Footings and foundations for the main addition are complete.</u>

### **Project Information:**

New Area to be Constructed	
Existing Area to be Renovated	
Total New Facility Area	
Total Site Area	
Pupil Places Added	
New Facility Capacity	

1059 students	483 stu	ft. ft. res idents
---------------	---------	-----------------------------

129,



Project Funding:		Project Costs:		Budget	Paid	Forecast
	,000,000	Contract, Phase	1	1,435,925	1,440,130	1,435,925
Board Reserves	329,915	Contract, Phase	2	7,873,905	0	7,873,905
\$11	,329,915	Fees & Disburse	ements	880,000	763,346	880,000
		Furniture & Equi	pment	700,085	0	700,085
		Other Project Co	osts	440,000	194,903	440,000
				\$11,329,915	\$2,398,379	\$11,329,915
Project Timelines:		Scheduled Completion	Actua	I Completion		
Funding Approval		10 June 2009	10 Ju	ne 2009		
Architect Selection		20 July 2009	26 Ju	ly 2009		
Design Development		2 November 2009	26 Ja	nuary 2010		
Contract Documents, Ph	ase 1	8 April 2010	18 Ju	ne 2010		
Tender & Approvals, Pha	ase 1	25 May 2010	7 July	2010		
Construction, Phase 1		27 August 2010	27 Se	ptember 2010		
Contract Documents, Ph	ase 2	24 March 2011		arch 2011		
Tender & Approvals, Pha	ase 2	29 March 2011	12 Ap	oril 2011		
Construction, Phase 2		16 December 2011				
Occupancy		9 January 2012				
Official Opening & Bless	ing	February 2012				
Project Team:						
Architect		Raimondo + Associates A	Architect	ts Inc.		
General Contractor, Pha		Rankin Construction Inc.				
General Contractor, Pha	se 2	Brouwer Construction (19	981) Ltd.			
Project Manager		Anthony Ferrara				
Superintendent		Yolanda Baldasaro				
Principal		Ted Farrell				



**APPENDIX B** 

### OUR LADY OF FATIMA CATHOLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, GRIMSBY

### Scope of Project:

Installation of a109 kW roof top solar photovoltaic system consisting of a total of 504 solar panels, estimated to produce 120,000 kWh of electricity annually, and supplied to the utility company under the Feed-In-Tariff Program. A web enabled data acquisition system to monitor performance is also included within the scope.

### **Current Status:**

Project Funding:

Structural steel is currently being fabricated. Installation will commence on June 20, 2011. Solar panel installation is scheduled for August 23rd competion.



Budget

Paid

Forecast

Renewable Energy Facilities Renewal	949,373 460,000 <b>\$1,409,373</b>	Construction Cor Fees & Disburse Other Project Co	ments	1,200,000 78,250 130,900 <b>\$1,409,150</b>	124,808 79,546 42,932 <b>\$247,286</b>	1,200,000 78,250 130,900 <b>\$1,409,150</b>
					<i>Ψ241,</i> 200	¥1,403,130
Project Timelines:		luled Completion		al Completion	_	
Funding Approval Design Development		ary 19, 2010 ry 10, 2011		ruary 19, 2010 Jary 24, 2011		
Contract Documents		ry 31, 2011		uary 31, 2011		
Tender		ary 17, 2011		ruary 17, 2011		

August 31, 2011

Project Costs:

### Project Team:

Construction

Architect General Contractor Project Manager Superintendent Principal Venerino V.P. Panici Architect Inc Carmanah Technologies Anthony Ferrara Yolanda Baldasaro Michael Hendrickse



### **APPENDIX C**

### **OUR LADY OF VICTORY CATHOLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL**

1,7815

28,848

47,200

8.6

184

Scheduled Completion

10 June 2009

20 July 2009

13 May 2010

6 October 2009

26 January 2010

12 August 2011

November 2011

6 September 2011

sq. ft.

sq. ft.

sq. ft.

acres

students 495 students

### Scope of Project:

Consolidation of the junior and senior schools through the design and construction of an eight classroom addition and alterations to the existing junior building under the Energy Efficient Funding program. Alterations to the existing building will occur during the summer months, and the new classrooms will be added while the existing building continues to function.

### **Current Status:**

Roofing is nearing completion. Exterior cladding is about to commence

### **Project Information:**

New Area to be Constructed	
Existing Area to be Renovated	
Total New Facility Area	
Total Site Area	
Pupil Places Added	
New Facility Capacity	

### Project

	\$5 888 1/2
GPTL	500,000
Facilities Renewal	525,000
Energy Efficiency M	300,000
Energy Efficiency ES	4,563,142

### **Project Timelines:**

Funding Approval Architect Selection Design Development Contract Documents Tender Construction Occupancy Official Opening & Blessing

### Project Team:

Architect	Raimondo + Associates Architects Inc.
General Contractor	T.R. Hinan Contractors
Project Manager	Anthony Ferrara
Superintendent	Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells
Principal	Theresa Murphy



Funding:		Project Costs:	Budget	Paid	Forecast
Efficiency ES	4,563,142	Construction Contract	4,321,000	1,351,437	4,321,000
Efficiency M	300,000	Fees & Disbursements	554,700	417,928	554,700
s Renewal	525,000	Furniture & Equipment	119,500	0	119,500
	500,000	Other Project Costs	689,572	96,879	689,572
	\$5,888,142		\$5,684,772	\$1,866,244	\$5,684,772

Actual Completion 10 June 2009 26 June 2009 9 December 2009 18 June 2010 6 July 2010

### **APPENDIX D**



### ST. ANDREW CATHOLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

### Scope of Project:

Construction of a new library and single classroom. Renovations to the existing library to be two additional classrooms and expansion of the gymnasium to create a stage.

### **Current Status:**

The new addition is complete. Landscaping is currently being completed.

### **Project Information:**

New Area to be Constructed	3,774	sq. ft.
Existing Area to be Renovated	1,410	sq. ft.
Total New Facility Area	26,911	sq. ft.
Total Site Area	4.6	acres
Pupil Places Added	69	studer
New Facility Capacity	411	studer



Project Funding:		Project Costs:	Budget	Paid	Forecast
Primary Class Size	1,077,869	Construction Contract	948,588	853,742	948,588
Good Places to Learn	55,000	Fees & Disbursements	66,000	74,069	66,000
	\$1,132,869	Furniture & Equipment	25,000	22,815	25,000
		Other Project Costs	90,044	103,069	90,044
			\$1,129,632	\$1,053,695	\$1,129,632

Project Timelines:	Scheduled Completion	Actual Completion
Funding Approval	10 June 2009	10 June 2009
Architect Selection	20 July 2009	26 July 2009
Design Development	15 March 2010	16 April 2010
Contract Documents	31 May 2010	23 July 2010
Tender	04 July 2010	10 August 2010
Construction	11 February 2011	C C
Occupancy	18 February 2010	
Official Opening & Blessing	March 2010	

### Project Team:

Architect	Raimondo + Associates Architects
General Contractor	Stolk Construction
Project Manager	Tunde Labbancz
Superintendent	Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells
Principal	Chris Gobbi



### **APPENDIX E**

### ST. ANTHONY CATHOLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

### Scope of Project:

Design and construction of 3 new classrooms for Early Learning, plus renovations to provide additional general classrooms within the existing building.

### Current Status:

The Contractor has commenced mobilization on site.

### **Project Information:**

New Area to be Constructed Existing Area to be Renovated Total New Facility Area Total Site Area Pupil Places Added New Facility Capacity

### Pro

Prin Ear Fac

6,588 sq. ft. 2,400 sq. ft. 50,777 sq. ft. 5.04 acres 124 students 602 students



oject Funding:		Project Costs:	Budget	Paid	Forecast	
imary Class Size	1,077,869	Construction Contract	1,396,000	0	1,396,000	
arly Learning	434,585	Fees & Disbursements	146,700	132,478	146,700	
acilities Renewal	166,750	Furniture & Equipment	15,000	0	15,000	
	\$1,679,204	Other Project Costs	121,504	14,025	121,504	
			\$1,679,204	\$146,503	\$1,679,204	

Project Timelines:	Scheduled Completion	Actual Completion
Funding Approval	19/12/2008 & 15/06/2010	15 June 2010
Architect Selection	26 July 2009	26 July 2009
Design Development	23 December 2009	10 August 2010
Contract Documents	17 February 2011	17 February 2011
Tender & Approvals	22 February 2011	8 March 2011
Construction	26 August 2011	
Occupancy	6 September 2011	
Official Opening & Blessing	October 2011	

### Project Team:

Architect General Contractor **Project Manager** Superintendent Principal

Garwood-Jones & Hanham Merit Contractors Niagara **Tunde Labbancz** Frank lannantuono Anne Marie Crocco

**APPENDIX F** 



### ST. AUGUSTINE CATHOLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

### Scope of Project:

Construction of a new library and renovations to the vacated space to be two additional classrooms, and expansion of two existing classrooms to create purpose built kindergarten classrooms. An additional driveway entrance, kiss and ride and parking lot will also be provided.

### Current Status:

The project is complete. The new classrooms and library were occupied following the Christmas break. Landscaping is currently being completed.

### Project Information:

New Area to be Constructed	3,800	sq. ft.
Existing Area to be Renovated	1,950	sq. ft.
Total New Facility Area	19,469	sq. ft.
Total Site Area	6.22	acres
Pupil Places Added	46	students (2 classrooms)
New Facility Capacity	219	students (10 classrooms)



### Actual Completion Project Timelines: Scheduled Completion Funding Approval 10 June 2009 10 June 2009 Architect Selection 20 July 2009 26 July 2009 Design Development 11 January 2010 05 March 2010 6 July 2010 Contract Documents 01 May 2010 Tender 26 June 2010 20 July 2010 Construction 10 December 2010 23 December 2010 Occupancy 10 January 2011 10 January 2011 February 2011 Official Opening & Blessing

### Project Team:

Architect General Contractor Project Manager Superintendent Principal Graff Grguric Architects Inc Kenmore Management Tunde Labbancz Lee Ann Forsyth-Sells Mary Kay Kalagian



**APPENDIX G** 

ST. JOSEPH CATHOLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, GRIMSBY

### Scope of Project:

Installation of a109 kW roof top solar photovoltaic system consisting of a total of 504 solar panels, estimated to produce 120,000 kWh of electricity annually, and supplied to the utility company under the Feed-In-Tariff Program. A web enabled data acquisition system to monitor performance is also included within the scope.

### Current Status:

Structural steel is currently being fabricated. Installation will commence on July 4, 2011. Solar panel installation is scheduled for August 31st competion.



Project Funding:		Project Costs:	Budget	Paid	Forecast
Renewable Energy	949,373	Construction Contract	1,041,818	109,532	1,041,818
Facilities Renewal	355,000	Fees & Disbursements	78,250	73,637	78,250
		Other Project Costs	184,305	32,070	184,305
	\$1,304,373		\$1,304,373	\$215,239	\$1,304,373

Project Timelines:	Scheduled Completion	Actual Completion		
Funding Approval	February 19, 2010	February 19, 2010		
Design Development	January 10, 2011	January 24, 2011		
Contract Documents	January 31, 2011	January 31, 2011		
Tender	February 17, 2011	February 17, 2011		
Construction	August 31, 2011			

### Project Team:

Architect General Contractor Project Manager Superintendent Principal Venerino V.P. Panici Architect Inc Carmanah Technologies Anthony Ferrara Yolanda Baldasaro John Bosco



**APPENDIX H** 

### ST. MARK CATHOLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

### Scope of Project:

Design and construction of a six classroom addition to provide 4 additional general classrooms and 2 additional Early Learning Kindergarten classrooms.

### **Current Status:**

Exterior and interior concrete block wall construction is in progress. Roofing will be installed in about 3 weeks.

### **Project Information:**

New Area to be Constructed Existing Area to be Renovated Total New Facility Area Total Site Area Pupil Places Added New Facility Capacity

9,096	sq. ft.
1,600	sq. ft.
45,028	sq. ft.
5.31	acres
138	students
501	students



Project Funding:		Project Costs:	Budget	Paid	Forecast
Primary Class Size	1,437,159	Construction Contract	1,800,000	163,369	1,800,000
Early Learning	869,170	Fees & Disbursements	200,000	153,668	200,000
	\$2,306,329	Furniture & Equipment	50,000	0	50,000
		Other Project Costs	250,000	34,943	250,000
			\$2,300,000	\$351,980	\$2,300,000

Project Timelines:	Scheduled Completion	Actual Completion
Funding Approval	19/12/2008 & 15/06/2010	15 June 2010
Architect Selection	26 July 2009	26 July 2009
Design Development	23 December 2009	10 August 2010
Contract Documents	17 February 2011	17 December 2010
Tender & Approvals	25 January 2011	25 January 2011
Construction	26 August 2011	
Occupancy	6 September 2011	
Official Opening & Blessing	October 2011	

### Project Team:

Architect General Contractor Project Manager Superintendent Principal Garwood-Jones & Hanham Aldor Builders Ltd. Tunde Labbancz Yolanda Baldasaro Carmela D'Andrea TO: NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

TOPIC:TRUSTEE INFORMATION<br/>SPOTLIGHT ON NIAGARA CATHOLIC – MAY 24, 2011

Prepared by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Presented by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7, 2011



# Niagara Catholic Honours The Class of 2011 at Annual Graduation Celebration



Niagara Catholic celebrated approximately 2,000 secondary school students in the Class of 2011 at the annual Niagara Catholic Graduation Celebration, which took place at the Scotiabank Convention Centre on May 19th. Highlights of the graduation celebration included a prayer service focused on environmental stewardship led by Bishop Bergie and members of the Niagara Catholic Student Senate, a message from Pope Benedict XVI and an address by environmental activist and educator Geoff Green. During his speech, Mr. Green spoke about the importance of environmental conservation and stewardship. The Lakeshore Catholic High School Band and Choir provided entertainment.

From left, are Saint Paul Catholic High School Vice-Principal Mario Geremia, Student Trustees Shelby Levesque and Patrick Fowler, Director of Education John Crocco, Bishop Bergie, Board Chair Kathy Burtnik, Geoff Green, Student Engagement/Leadership Consultant Mary Ann McKinley, St. Francis Catholic Secondary School Principal Ken Griepsma and Notre Dame College School Principal Ralph DeFazio.

### Dr. Sebastian Fazzari, Supervisor of School Counselling Services, Receives Niagara University Award

Dr. Sebastian Fazzari, PhD, Niagara Catholic Supervisor of School Counselling Services, is this year's recipient of the Maria M. Love Field Supervisor of the Year Award from Niagara University in Western New York.

Dr. Fazzari was recognized for his contribution to the School of Social Work during the Class of 2011 luncheon on May 9th. Niagara University's Coordinator of Field Practicum Karen Edmond presented Dr. Fazzari with his award. Dr. Fazzari was nominated by students Nina Wallace (a graduate of Lakeshore Catholic High School) and Vanessa Pollard.

Dr. Fazzari was recognized for his accomplishment at the May 24th Board Meeting.

Ms. Edmond attended the Board meeting to congratulate and re-present Dr. Fazzari with his award, as well as a framed copy of the nomination letter.



# 2011-2012 Strategic Directions

The Niagara Catholic Board has approved the Strategic Directions Priority Indicators for 2011-2012.

These Strategic Directions Priority Indicators were created in alignment with the Vision 2020 Strategic Plan, approved by the Niagara Catholic Board in June 2010.

The Strategic Directions for 2011-2012 are:

### Build Strong Catholic Identity and Community to Nurture the Distinctiveness of Catholic Education:

• To enhance our distinctive Catholic education system by designing and implementing a Niagara Catholic Faith Formation Program, rooted in the Board's Vision 2020 Strategic Plan, and integrating into programs and services for students, parents and the community served by the Board by June 2012

• To conduct a Niagara Catholic elementary and secondary Student Conference 2012 focused on the theme of *Nurturing the Distinctiveness of Catholic Education* by March 2012.

# Advance Student Achievement for All:

• To continue to support all students who are six years of age in the development of literacy and numeracy skills, particularly in learning to read, by June 2012.

• To expand and enhance e-Learning initiatives in Niagara Catholic by June 2012.

• To continue with system implementation of the Ministry of Education policy *Growing Success* -*Assessment, Evaluation and Reporting in Ontario Schools* and the *Niagara Catholic Assessment, Evaluation and Reporting K*-*12 Policy and Guidelines* for all schools in the Board by June 2012.

• To continue student advancement and achievement through Ministry of Education, Board and School initiatives on Provincial EQAO Primary and Junior Assessments, EQAO Secondary Assessment and the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test (OSSLT) by June 2012.

• To align and design initiatives to achieve the target of 85 per cent graduation rate of 2011-2012 Grade 12 students by June 2012.

Several enabling strategies have been created to achieve these strategic directions.

The full report can be found online at *niagaracatholic.ca*.

# Niagara Catholic Recognized by Carousel Players for 40-year partnership



During the May 24th Board Meeting, Niagara Catholic was recognized by Carousel Players for its fourdecade partnership with the theatre company. Pablo Felices-Luna, Artistic Director of Carousel Players made the presentation to Niagara Catholic Board Vice-Chairperson Maurice Charbonneau (left) and Director of Education John Crocco.

# Niagara Catholic Raises \$61,000 for Fox Foundation

The Terry Fox Foundation has announced that Niagara Catholic schools raised \$61,410.72 for cancer research in 2010. Congratulations and thank you to our students, staff and families for supporting the Terry Fox Foundation.

# Students Share Information About March for Life in Ottawa



Students from Holy Cross Catholic Secondary School in St. Catharines attended the May 24th Board Meeting to share information about a recent trip they, and students from Lakeshore Catholic High School and Notre Dame College School took to Ottawa, to participate in the March for Life. March for Life is an event that brings attention to right-to-life issues and raises money for mothers in need. It is a spin-off of the phrase "Culture of Life," which was announced by the late Blessed John Paul II, which focuses on the philosophy of respect for life at allstages.



The January 27, 2009 Board meeting saw the launch of a new initiative at Niagara Catholic.

The **School Excellence Program** is part of a series of new strategies within Niagara Catholic to increase the profile of our schools and celebrate the success of our students and staff.

Each month, one Niagara Catholic school will have the opportunity to appear before the Board to celebrate their successes, share their plans for continuous improvement and showcase one "extraordinary item or initiative that makes the school an indispensable choice for parents."

St. Christopher Catholic Elementary School opened in St. Catharines near Lock 4 of the Welland Canal, by the Homer Bridge, in 1956. Joseph Noonan was the founding principal of St. Christopher, which was comprised of six classrooms, a small staff room and a small office down one hallway.

In January 1998, St. Christopher was expanded to include a new gymnasium and stage, Early Childhood Education classrooms, a resource room, two new offices, and a welcoming foyer which is now a frequent hub of activity throughout the school year. The school celebrated 50 years of Catholic education in the community in April 2007.

During the May 24th Board Meeting, Principal Susan Boychuck shared the many wonderful things that happen at St. Christopher during her School Excellence Program presentation.

The motto at St. Christopher Catholic Elementary School in St. Catharines is **Walk with the Lord**. The school's logo is a set of adult footprints, surrounded by smaller ones, depicting the way in which students at St. Christopher follow Christ.

Ms. Boychuck said there are five basic rules students must follow every day: Keep your hands and feet to yourself; If it's not yours, don't take it without permission; Stop means stop (Bullying is not acceptable); Verbal abuse is not tolerated and All behaviour and dress must be morally and socially acceptable. Following these basic rules makes St. Christopher a place focused on learning and positive behaviour, Ms. Boychuck said.

With respectful behaviour an expectation, teachers and students can focus on the task at hand: increasing student achievement.

Staff strive to improve student achievement and reduce learning gaps in both literacy and numeracy by the end of this school by focusing on one specific area of improvement per term. Staff also focus on transitions, by identifying instructional supports, programs, strategies and resources



Left: Students at St. Christopher Catholic Elementary School are regular participants in a number of global and community social justice initiatives, including participating in the local Terry Fox Walk, the Holy Childhood Walk and Kids Helping Kids campaign. Students also gave generously last Christmas to support four families in the school community who faced financial difficulties during the holidays.

Right: Students are exposed to sports such as Tae-Kwon-Do. Below: Students perform in the school musical, Rock and Roll Forever,

this month.





# CUS on St. Christopher Catholic Elementary School Continued



Right: Members of the St. Christopher Rosary Club, who meet each Wednesdav at afternoon recess to pray the Rosary.





**Right: Parent** volunteers play a key role in school life, such as on Shrove Tuesday.

Below, members of St. Christopher's Mathletics team hold up a newspaper article about their outstanding performance in the Canadian Math Challenge.



that support student transition. Teachers work to create pathways to support student transition between divisions and from elementary to secondary school. Throughout the year, the Grade 8 teacher and Ms. Boychuck have worked with Denis Morris Catholic High School Principal Tony Bozza and the Denis Morris Student Success teams to create a seamless transition from elementary to secondary school.

Staff are as committed to students advancing in their faith as they are to advancing student achievement. Following the Virtues Education Model, each month staff focus on a virtue to help guide students on their path to become more committed in their faith. Father Michael Basque, Pastor at St. Thomas Aquinas Church, is a frequent visitor to St. Christopher, leading school Masses every Wednesday and preparing students for the Sacraments of Reconciliation, Communion and Confirmation. A Rosary Club, led by Catholic School Council volunteer Syl Roach, meets once a week.

Catholicity permeates other initiatives at St. Christopher. Students and staff are eager participants in projects to help those in need at home and abroad, through events such as the Terry Fox Walk, Jump Rope for Heart, Holy Childhood Wall, Ryan's Bowl and Purple Day of Epilepsy. Staff and students also adopted four families in the St. Christopher community this past Christmas, ensuring that everyone had presents to open and a full Christmas dinner to enjoy.

St. Christopher has an active EcoClub, obtaining a Silver ranking through the Ontario EcoSchools program.

In keeping with health, fitness is regularly promoted thorough school and intramural sports and the introduction of activities such as Tae-Kwon-Do. St. Christopher also has an active Chess Club, arts program and Catholic School Council, who lead events such as Shrove Tuesday, school lunches and special event days each year.

# **D1.2**

TO: NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

**PUBLIC SESSION** 

**TOPIC:TRUSTEE INFORMATION**<br/>CALENDAR OF EVENTS – JUNE 2011

Prepared by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Presented by: John Crocco, Director of Education

Date: June 7, 2011

<b>—</b>
0
2
Φ
Ъ
7

		1						Nic		nstr	ш : <u>ё</u>	
SAT	4	*	÷		18		<b>25</b> Retirement &	Service Recognition Celebration				21 to July 1
FRI	ო	Skills Canada National Competition Quebec City Jun 1-4	<b>10</b> Elem PA Day		17	World Day to Combat Desertification	24	Saint-Jean- Baptiste Day				Celebrate Canada - an eleven-day celebration - June 21 to July 1
THU	<b>2</b> World Catholic Education Day	Skills Canada Na Quebec C	Ø		16		23		Secondary Exams	<b>30</b> Elem & Sec PA		Canada - an eleven-d
WED	1 SEAC Mtg		ω	Clean Air & World Oceans Day	15	Global Wind Day	22			<b>29</b> Sec PA Day	Graduation - SM - LC	Celebrate (
TUE			7 CW Mtg		14 Board Mtg		21	-National Aboriginal Day	-Summer Solstice	28 Graduation SE	P T S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Secondary Exams
MON			G		13		20			27 Graduation	- HC - HC Canadian Multi- culturalism Day	Seconda
SUN			<b>5</b> Inaugural Family Picnic Day	Canadian Forces Day & World Environment Day	12		<b>19</b> Father's Day			26		



# Igara Catholic rict School Board

Events posted at iagaracatholic.ca

# **E1.1**

# TO: NIAGARA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE JUNE 7, 2011

# **PUBLIC SESSION**

# TOPIC: PUPIL ACCOMMODATION REPORT 2009 RECOMMENDATIONS AND ATTENDANCE AREA BOUNDARY REVIEW PROCESS

Information on the Pupil Accommodation Report 2009 Recommendations and Attendance Area Boundary Review Process will be distributed at the Committee of the Whole Meeting.